1	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP MICHAEL A. JACOBS (Bar No. 111664)		
2	mjacobs@mofo.com MARC DAVID PETERS (Bar No. 211725)		
3	mdpeters@mofo.com		
4	755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018		
5	Telephone: (650) 813-5600 / Facsimile: (650) 494-0)792	
	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP		
6	DAVID BOIES (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) dboies@bsfllp.com		
7	333 Main Street Armonk, NY 10504		
8	Telephone: (914) 749-8200 / Facsimile: (914) 749-8	3300	
9	STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (Bar No. 144177) sholtzman@bsfllp.com		
10	1999 Harrison St., Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612		
11	Telephone: (510) 874-1000 / Facsimile: (510) 874-1	460	
	ORACLE CORPORATION		
12	DORIAN DALEY (Bar No. 129049) dorian.daley@oracle.com		
13	DEBORAH K. MILLER (Bar No. 95527) deborah.miller@oracle.com		
14	MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (Bar No. 211600) matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com		
15	500 Oracle Parkway		
16	Redwood City, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 506-5200 / Facsimile: (650) 506-7	/114	
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
18	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.		
19	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT	
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
21	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
22	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.	Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA	
23	Plaintiff,	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF MARC DAVID PETERS IN	
24	V.	SUPPORT OF ORACLE'S RESPONSIVE CLAIM	
25	GOOGLE INC.	CONSTRUCTION BRIEF	
26	Defendant.	Dept.: Courtroom 9, 19th Floor Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup	
27		Tutorial: April 6, 2011, 1:30 p.m. Hearing: April 20, 2011, 1:30 p.m.	
28			
	PETERS SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF ORACL CASE NO. CV 10-03561 WHA pa-1454670	E'S RESPONDING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF	

Dockets.Justia.com

1	I, Marc David Peters, declare as follows:	
2	I am a partner at Morrison & Foerster LLP and am counsel of record to Plaintiff Oracle	
3	America, Inc. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein and, if called to testify,	
4	could and would testify competently to the following.	
5	1. On March 24, 2011, I performed searches of issued patents in the U.S. Patent and	
6	Trademark Office online database. See U.S. Patent Full-Text Database Manual Search, available	
7	at http://patft.uspto.gov/netahtml/PTO/search-adv.htm. My search criteria were:	
8	• ((aclm/"computer-readable medium") and not ("carrier wave" or "carrier waves"))	
9	• (aclm/"computer-readable medium" and ("carrier wave" or "carrier waves"))	
10	• (an/"sun microsystems")	
11	• (an/"sun microsystems" and aclm/"computer-readable medium")	
12	• ((an/"sun microsystems" and aclm/"computer-readable medium") and not ("carrier	
13	wave" or "carrier waves"))	
14	• ((an/"sun microsystems" and aclm/"computer-readable medium") and ("carrier wave"	
15	or "carrier waves"))	
16	2. Here are the results of my searches:	
17	3. There are 23,840 issued patents having "computer-readable medium" in the	
18	claims, but do not have "carrier wave" anywhere in the specification. In contrast, there are 3,804	
19	issued patents having "computer-readable medium" in the claims, which do have "carrier wave"	
20	somewhere in the specification.	
21	4. There are 7,615 patents issued to Sun Microsystems, of which 546 have	
22	"computer-readable medium" in the claims.	
23	5. There are 333 patents issued to Sun Microsystems having "computer-readable	
24	medium" in the claims, but do not have "carrier wave" anywhere in the specification. In contrast,	
25	there are 213 patents issued to Sun Microsystems having "computer-readable medium" in the	
26	claims, which do have "carrier wave" somewhere in the specification.	
27	6. Reading through the earliest-issued patents in the search results, I did not find a	
28	patent application filed in 1996 that had both "computer-readable medium" in the claims and	
	PETERS SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF ORACLE'S RESPONDING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF CASE NO. CV 10-03561 WHA pa-1454670	

1	"carrier wave" somewhere in the specification. U.S. Patent No. 5,953,522, which was filed in	
2	July 1996, does not have "carrier wave" in the specification.	
3	7. On March 29, 2011, I reviewed the file history for U.S. Patent No. 5,953,522. I	
4	observed that the phrase "carrier wave" did not appear in the specification and claims as they	
5	were originally filed on July 1, 1996. The phrase "carrier wave" did not appear in the prosecution	
6	of the '522 patent until it was added to the claims by an amendment in 1998. The amendment	
7	was filed by a different attorney, at a different law firm, than the one who filed the specification	
8	two years earlier. Attached hereto as Exhibit 9 is a true and correct copy of the original 1996	
9	claims of the '522 patent and of the 1998 amendment to the claims.	
10	8. Attached hereto as Exhibit 10 is a true and correct copy of Section 2106 from the	
11	MANUAL OF PATENT EXAMINING PROCEDURE, 8th Edition, dated August 2001. The relevant	
12	portion on page 2100-14 is indicated.	
13	9. Attached hereto as Exhibit 11 is a CDROM containing certified copies of the	
14	patents-in suit and their file histories, including parent patents and their file histories. Present on	
15	the disk are:	
16	• True and correct certified copies of U.S. Patent No. RE38,104 and its parents	
17	5,367,685 and RE36,204, and their respective file histories. These were produced to	
18	Google with the Bates numbers OAGOOGLE0000052131-52143,	
19	OAGOOGLE0000052194-52209, OAGOOGLE0000052235-52253,	
20	OAGOOGLE0000113509-113600, OAGOOGLE0000113789-114304, and	
21	OAGOOGLE0000102583-105959.	
22	• A true and correct certified copy of U.S. Patent No. 5,966,702 and its file history.	
23	These were produced to Google with the Bates numbers OAGOOGLE0000052023-	
24	52059 and OAGOOGLE0000113601-113788.	
25	• A true and correct certified copy of U.S. Patent No. 6,061,520 and its file history.	
26	These were produced to Google with the Bates numbers OAGOOGLE0000052097-	
27	52109 and OAGOOGLE0000112640-113099.	
28		

2

1	• A true and correct certified copy of U.S. Patent No. 6,125,447 and its file history.		
2	These were produced to Google with the Bates numbers OAGOOGLE0000052060-		
3	52077 and OAGOOGLE0000111937-112639.		
4	• A true and correct certified copy of U.S. Patent No. 6,192,476 and its file history.		
5	These were produced to Google with the Bates numbers OAGOOGLE0000052078-		
6	52096 and OAGOOGLE0000111357-111936.		
7	• True and correct certified copies of U.S. Patent No. 6,910,205 and its parent		
8	6,513,156, and their respective file histories. These were produced to Google with the		
9	Bates numbers OAGOOGLE0000052144-52169, OAGOOGLE0000052210-52234,		
10	OAGOOGLE0000113100-113508, and OAGOOGLE0000052602-52859.		
11	• A true and correct certified copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,426,720 and its file history.		
12	These were produced to Google with the Bates numbers OAGOOGLE0000052110-		
13	52130 and OAGOOGLE0000052270-52424.		
14	10. For the Court's convenience, the chambers copy of Exhibit 11 is being provided in		
15	both disk and paper form. The disk contains the complete patents and file histories listed above.		
16	To conserve space and paper, certain prior art references that were submitted to the patent office		
17	(notably, a few complete textbooks totaling thousands of pages) were omitted from the paper		
18	copy.		
19			
20	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that to the best of		
21	my knowledge the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 31, 2011, in Palo Alto,		
22	California.		
23	/s/ Marc David Peters		
24	Marc David Peters		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	PETERS SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF ORACLE'S RESPONDING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF CASE NO. CV 10-03561 WHA 3		