| 1 | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 7 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 8 | TOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CHER ORIVIN |
| 9 | |
| 10 | ORACLE AMERICA, INC., |
| 11 | Plaintiff, No. C 10-03561 WHA |
| 12 | v. |
| 13 | GOOGLE INC., SPECIAL VERDICT FORM |
| 14 | Defendant. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | YOUR ANSWER MUST BE UNANIMOUS. |
| 17 | 1. As to the compilable code for the 37 Java API packages in question taken as a |
| 18 | group: |
| 19 | A. Has Oracle proven that Google has infringed the overall structure, |
| 20 | sequence and organization of copyrighted works? |
| 2122 | Yes No |
| 23 | |
| 24 | (IF YOU ANSWER "NO" TO QUESTION 1A, THEN SKIP TO QUESTION NO. 2.) |
| 25 | |
| 26 | B. Has Google proven that its use of the overall structure, sequence |
| 27 | and organization constituted "fair use"? |
| 28 | Yes No |
| | |

27

28

| 1 | 2. As to the documentation for the 37 Java API packages in question taken as a |
|----|--|
| 2 | group: |
| 3 | A. Has Oracle proven that Google has infringed? |
| 4 | Yes No |
| 5 | |
| 6 | (IF YOU ANSWER "NO" TO QUESTION 2A, THEN SKIP TO QUESTION NO. 3.) |
| 7 | |
| 8 | B. Has Google proven that its use of Oracle's Java documentation |
| 9 | constituted "fair use"? |
| 10 | Yes No |
| 11 | |
| 12 | 3. Has Oracle proven that Google's conceded use of the following was infringing, |
| 13 | the only issue being whether such use was de minimis: |
| 14 | Yes No |
| 15 | A. The rangeCheck method in |
| 16 | TimSort.java and ComparableTimSort.Java |
| 17 | B. Source code in seven "Impl.java" |
| 18 | files and the one "ACL" file |
| 19 | C. The English-language comments in |
| 20 | CodeSourceTest.java andCollectionCertStoreParameters |
| 21 | Test.java |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |

| 1 | 4. Answer the following special interrogatories only if you answer "yes" to Question |
|----|---|
| 2 | 1A. |
| 3 | A. Has Google proven that Sun and/or Oracle engaged in conduct Sun and/or |
| 4 | Oracle knew or should have known would reasonably lead Google to |
| 5 | believe that it would not need a license to use the structure, sequence, and |
| 6 | organization of the copyrighted compilable code? |
| 7 | Yes No |
| 8 | |
| 9 | B. If so, has Google proven that it in fact reasonably relied on such conduct |
| 10 | by Sun and/or Oracle in deciding to use the structure, sequence, and |
| 11 | organization of the copyrighted compilable code without obtaining a |
| 12 | license? |
| 13 | Yes No |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Your answer will be used by the judge with issues he must decide. These interrogatories |
| 16 | do not bear on the issues you must decide on Questions 1 to 3. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Dated: |
| 20 | FOREPERSON |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |