

1 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
 ROBERT A. VAN NEST - #84065
 2 rvannest@kvn.com
 CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - #184325
 3 canderson@kvn.com
 DANIEL PURCELL - #191424
 4 dpurcell@kvn.com
 710 Sansome Street
 5 San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
 Telephone: (415) 391-5400
 6 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

KING & SPALDING LLP
 SCOTT T. WEINGAERTNER (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 sweingaertner@kslaw.com
 ROBERT F. PERRY
 rperry@kslaw.com
 BRUCE W. BABER (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 bbaber@kslaw.com
 1185 Avenue of the Americas
 New York, NY 10036-4003
 Telephone: (212) 556-2100
 Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

7 KING & SPALDING LLP
 DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR. - #112279
 8 fzimmer@kslaw.com
 CHERYL A. SABNIS - #224323
 9 csabnis@kslaw.com
 101 Second Street, Suite 2300
 10 San Francisco, CA 94105
 Telephone: (415) 318-1200
 11 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
 IAN C. BALLON - #141819
 ballon@gtlaw.com
 HEATHER MEEKER - #172148
 meekerh@gtlaw.com
 1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor
 East Palo Alto, CA 94303
 Telephone: (650) 328-8500
 Facsimile: (650) 328-8508

12 Attorneys for Defendant
 13 GOOGLE INC.

14
 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 17 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

19 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,
 20 Plaintiff,
 21 v.
 22 GOOGLE INC.,
 23 Defendant.
 24

Case No. 3:10-cv-03561 WHA
**GOOGLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE
 MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS
 UNDER SEAL**
 Judge: The Honorable William Alsup

1 Pursuant to the Northern District of California’s Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5,
2 Defendant Google Inc. (“Google”) hereby brings this Administrative Motion to Seal the
3 following material designated by Google as “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’
4 Eyes Only” pursuant to the Order Approving Stipulated Protective Order Subject to Stated
5 Conditions entered in this case [Dkt. No. 68.]:

- 6 • The redacted portions of Google’s Opposition to Oracle’s Renewed Motion to
7 Strike Portions of Dr. James Kearl’s Expert Report, including lines 1:1, 2:23-28,
8 3:1-2, and 3:20-28 of the Opposition;
- 9 • The redacted portions of Exhibit A to the Declaration of Daniel Purcell in Support
10 of Google’s Opposition To Oracle’s Renewed Motion to Exclude Portions of the
11 Expert Report of Dr. James Kearl, which contains excerpted pages from the April
12 27, 2012 deposition of Andy Rubin regarding Google’s financial information
13 related to its Android business unit.

14 The redacted portions of Google’s Opposition expressly disclose or would allow others to
15 deduce Google’s sensitive, non-public financial data, such as costs, revenues, and profits, as well
16 as projected costs, revenues, and profits, associated with Android. It also contains sensitive,
17 non-public information about Google’s financial management practices and methodologies.
18 Public release of this information would cause great and undue harm to Google. Exhibit A of the
19 Declaration of Daniel Purcell in Support of Google’s Opposition to Oracle’s Renewed Motion to
20 Strike Portions of Dr. James Kearl’s Expert Report contains sensitive, non-public information
21 about Google’s financial management practices and methodologies. Public release of this
22 information would cause great and undue harm to Google. The Court has previously granted
23 motions to seal based on the identical financial data and practices. *See* Dkt. No. 935.

24 Dated: May 1, 2012

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

25 By: /s/ Robert A. Van Nest
26 ROBERT A. VAN NEST
27 Attorneys for Defendant
28 GOOGLE INC.