

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,
Plaintiff,
v.
GOOGLE INC.,
Defendant.

No. C 10-03561 WHA

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

YOUR ANSWERS MUST BE UNANIMOUS.

Direct Infringement

1. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google directly infringes claims 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, and 41 of United States Patent Number RE38,104?

	Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)
CLAIM 11:	_____	_____
CLAIM 27:	_____	_____
CLAIM 29:	_____	_____
CLAIM 39:	_____	_____
CLAIM 40:	_____	_____
CLAIM 41:	_____	_____

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google directly infringes claims 1 and 20 of United States Patent Number 6,061,520?

	Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)
CLAIM 1:	_____	_____
CLAIM 20:	_____	_____

Indirect Infringement

3. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google has induced or contributed to any infringement of claims 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, and 41 of United States Patent Number RE38,104?

	Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)
CLAIM 11:	_____	_____
CLAIM 27:	_____	_____
CLAIM 29:	_____	_____
CLAIM 39:	_____	_____
CLAIM 40:	_____	_____
CLAIM 41:	_____	_____

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

4. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google has induced or contributed to any infringement of claims 1 and 20 of United States Patent Number 6,061,520?

	Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)
CLAIM 1:	_____	_____
CLAIM 20:	_____	_____

Dated: May ____, 2012.

FOREPERSON