

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
MICHAEL A. JACOBS (Bar No. 111664)  
mjacobs@mofo.com  
MARC DAVID PETERS (Bar No. 211725)  
mdpeters@mofo.com  
DANIEL P. MUINO (Bar No. 209624)  
dmuino@mofo.com  
755 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018  
Telephone: (650) 813-5600 / Facsimile: (650) 494-0792

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
DAVID BOIES (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
dboies@bsflp.com  
333 Main Street, Armonk, NY 10504  
Telephone: (914) 749-8200 / Facsimile: (914) 749-8300  
STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (Bar No. 144177)  
sholtzman@bsflp.com  
1999 Harrison St., Suite 900, Oakland, CA 94612  
Telephone: (510) 874-1000 / Facsimile: (510) 874-1460  
ALANNA RUTHERFORD (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
575 Lexington Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10022  
Telephone: (212) 446-2300 / Facsimile: (212) 446-2350 (fax)

ORACLE CORPORATION  
DORIAN DALEY (Bar No. 129049)  
dorian.daley@oracle.com  
DEBORAH K. MILLER (Bar No. 95527)  
deborah.miller@oracle.com  
MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (Bar No. 211600)  
matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com  
500 Oracle Parkway, Redwood City, CA 94065  
Telephone: (650) 506-5200 / Facsimile: (650) 506-7114

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
GOOGLE, INC.  
  
Defendant.

Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA  
  
**ORACLE AMERICA, INC.'S MOTION TO  
ADMIT STATEMENT FROM GOOGLE'S  
INTERROGATORY RESPONSE**  
  
Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor  
Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup

1 Oracle America, Inc. hereby moves to have deemed admitted and read to the jury the  
2 following statement from Google's response to one of Oracle's interrogatories: "Google states that  
3 any financial data relating to mobile platforms from prior to January 2009 that it may have  
4 maintained are inaccurate and unreliable."

5 Google made this statement in response to an interrogatory seeking information regarding  
6 the revenues and profits associated with Android during the period January 2005 through  
7 December 2011. In its response, Google identified a number of documents reflecting Android  
8 revenues and expenses, including substantial expenses purportedly incurred before January 2009.  
9 Google designated that response confidential, and Oracle will be prepared to hand up a copy of the  
10 response in court.

11 Google's statement is relevant to the jury's assessment of infringer's profits in Phase 3. If  
12 Google is permitted to deduct any expenses related to the generation of Android revenues or the  
13 use of the infringing material, its deduction should be limited to expenses incurred during periods  
14 for which Google has reliable and accurate data. Because the report of Google's expert, Dr. Cox,  
15 deducts expenses for prior to January 2009, the jury is entitled to hear that Google admitted during  
16 discovery that the calculation of those expenses was inaccurate and unreliable.

17 Google's interrogatory response is admissible against Google under Rule 33(c) of the  
18 Federal Rules of Civil Procedures, which provides: "An answer to an interrogatory may be used to  
19 the extent allowed by the Federal Rules of Evidence." Written interrogatory responses are party  
20 admissions, and therefore admissible under Rule 801(d)(2) of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

21 The Court should hold Google to its interrogatory response and deem the statement set  
22 forth above admitted.

23  
24 Dated: May 13, 2012

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

25 By: /s/ Steven C. Holtzman  
Steven C. Holtzman

26 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
27 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.