

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

No. C 10-03561 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

GOOGLE INC.,

Defendant.

YOUR ANSWERS MUST BE UNANIMOUS.

1. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google infringes claim 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, or 41 of United States Patent Number RE38,104?

	Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)
CLAIM 11:	_____	_____
CLAIM 27:	_____	_____
CLAIM 29:	_____	_____
CLAIM 39:	_____	_____
CLAIM 40:	_____	_____
CLAIM 41:	_____	_____

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google infringes claim 1 or 20 of United States Patent Number 6,061,520?

	Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)
CLAIM 1:	_____	_____
CLAIM 20:	_____	_____

3. Has Oracle proven by clear and convincing evidence that Google willfully infringed one or more claims of an asserted patent? (Answer this question only if above you answered “Yes” to one or more claims of a *given* patent.)

	Yes (Willful)	No (Not Proven)
FOR THE '104 PATENT:	_____	_____
FOR THE '520 PATENT:	_____	_____

Dated: May ____, 2012.

FOREPERSON