1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP KAREN G. JOHNSON-MCKEWAN # 121570 kjohnson-mckewan@orrick.com ANNETTE L. HURST # 148738 ahurst@orrick.com GABRIEL M. RAMSEY # 209218 gramsey@orrick.com 405 Howard Street San Francisco, California 94105-2669 Tel: (415) 773-5700/Fax: (415) 773-5759 PETER A. BICKS (pro hac vice) pbicks@orrick.com LISA T. SIMPSON (pro hac vice) lsimpson@orrick.com 51 West 52 nd Street New York, New York 10019-6142 Tel: (212) 506-5000/Fax: (212) 506-5151 ORACLE CORPORATION DORIAN DALEY # 129049 dorian.daley@oracle.com DEBORAH K. MILLER # 95527 deborah.miller@oracle.com MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA # 211600 matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com RUCHIKA AGRAWAL # 246058 ruchika.agrawal@oracle.com 500 Oracle Parkway Redwood City, CA 94065 Tel: (650) 506-5200/Fax: (650) 506-7117	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP ROBERT A. VAN NEST - # 84065 rvannest@kvn.com CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - # 184325 canderson@kvn.com DANIEL PURCELL - # 191424 dpurcell@kvn.com 633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188 KING & SPALDING LLP BRUCE W. BABER (pro hac vice) bbaber@kslaw.com 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 Tel: (212) 556-2222 Attorneys For Defendant GOOGLE INC.			
16 17 18	Tel: (650) 506-5200/Fax: (650) 506-7117 Attorneys for Plaintiff ORACLE AMERICA, INC.				
19 20 21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
22	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,	Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA			
23 24 25	Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC.,	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE TESTIMONY OF JONATHAN SCHWARTZ			
26 27 28	Defendant.	Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19 th Floor Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup			
	JOINT STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER RE THE TESTIMONY OF J. SCHWARTZ Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA Dockets.Justia				

1	STIPULATION				
2	WHEREAS Oracle filed a summary motion in limine seeking to exclude portions of				
3	former Sun CEO Jonathan Schwartz's prior testimony from the retrial in this matter, ECF 1696 at				
4	18;				
5	WHEREAS Google filed a summary opposition opposing Oracle's motion in limine, ECF				
6	1696 at 19;				
7	WHEREAS the Court ordered full briefing on Oracle's summary motion in limine from				
8	both parties, ECF 1723;				
9	WHEREAS Oracle and Google (collectively the "Parties") conferred and agreed that a				
10	mutual stipulated agreement would resolve Oracle's outstanding motion in limine without the				
11	need for further motion practice;				
12	NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties AGREE AND STIPULATE that:				
13					
14	To resolve Oracle's Summary Motion in Limine No. 8, ECF 1696 at 18, Google agrees				
15	not to attempt to elicit from Mr. Schwartz or use at trial the testimony by Mr. Schwartz that "we				
16	didn't have any grounds" for litigation against Google over Android. If Oracle opens the door to				
17	this testimony by asking Mr. Schwartz or any other witness whether Sun had legal grounds to sue				
18	Google over Android, by presenting testimony (solicited or not) from any Oracle witness on this				
19	issue, or through attorney argument, Google will thereafter not be limited by this agreement. If				
20	Google believes the door has been opened to this issue, Google agrees to seek clearance from the				
21	Court before attempting to use Mr. Schwartz's prior testimony. Nothing in this agreement should				
22	be read to limit Oracle or Google from presenting evidence or argument on any issue not				
23	specifically identified herein.				
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	IONT CTID & IDDODOGED I ODDED DE THE TECTRAONY OF L. COUNTADTZ				
	JOINT STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER RE THE TESTIMONY OF J. SCHWARTZ Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA				

1	Dated: A	pril 25, 2016		ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP	
2					
3			By:	/s/ Peter A. Bicks	
4				PETER A. BICKS	
5				Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6				ORACLE AMERICA, INC.	
7	Dated: A	pril 25, 2016		Keker & Van Nest LLP	
8			By:	/s/ Robert A. Van Nest	
9				ROBERT A. VAN NEST	
10				Attorneys For Defendant	
11				GOOGLE INC.	
12					
13					
14	ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE				
15	I, Peter A. Bicks, the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Joint				
16	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding the Testimony of Jonathan Schwartz, hereby attest				
17	that Robert Van Nest has concurred in this filing.				
18	Dated:	April 25, 2016	By:	/s/ Peter A. Bicks	
19					
20					
21					
22		[PROPOSED] ORDER The foregoing stimulation is approved and Orgale's Summery Motion in Limina Pa, the			
23	The foregoing stipulation is approved, and Oracle's Summary Motion in Limine Re. the Testimony of Jonathan Schwartz, ECF 1696 at 18, is denied as moot without prejudice to refiling				
24	in the event it is no longer moot. IT IS SO ORDERED.				
25				· ·	
26	Date: _A	pril 25, 2016.			
27				Hono fole William H. Alsup Judge of the United States District Court	
28					
	2 JOINT STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER RE THE TESTIMONY OF J. SCHWARTZ				
	Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA				