

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,
Plaintiff,

No. C 10-03561 WHA


v.

GOOGLE INC.,
Defendant.

**REQUEST RE
"CUSTOM" DETAILS**

With respect to "custom," the Court understood open-source to still have licensing restrictions, which included, if you downloaded the open-source code, (i) donating back to the open-source public all improvements by the downloader, and (ii) not selling for profit your own version of what you downloaded. Were these conditions part of the open-source custom or not? If so, how does Google contend it complied with these conditions? Oracle will please address these concerns in its "custom" response due **MONDAY**, and Google must answer specifically on the above (and any other licensing bars raised by Oracle to any such custom) by **TUESDAY AT NOON**.

Dated: May 1, 2016.


WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE