

1 2	minimally transformative" where the magazine "did not transform the photos into a new work or incorporate the photos as part of a broader work"); <i>Elvis Presley Enters.</i> , 349 F.3d at 629 (finding thet use of convrighted aline of Elvis's telvision encourage use
3	that use of copyrighted clips of Elvis's television appearances was not transformative where "some of the clips [we]re played without
4	much interruption, if any [and] instead serve[d] the same intrinsic entertainment value that is protected by Plaintiffs' copyrights.").
5	As quoted above, the second parenthetical squib includes the phrase "where the magazine
6	'did not transform the photos into a new work or incorporate the photos as part of a broader
7	work." The latter six words are the key words in question, so where in Ninth Circuit law did
8	these words come from?
9	The parenthetical squib is attached is Monge v. Maya Magazines, Inc., 688 F.3d 1164,
10	1176 (9th Cir. 2012). There, a celebrity got married secretly. She and her husband had private
11	photos of their wedding and wedding night, which were stolen and sold to a tabloid, which
12	published them. The tabloid claimed fair use, which claim found rejection by our court
13	of appeals. Distinguishing an earlier Ninth Circuit decision, Monge stated in relevant part
14	(688 F.3d at 1176):
15	Maya did not transform the photos into a new work, as in
16 17	<i>Campbell</i> , or incorporate the photos as part of a broader work as in <i>CBS Broadcasting</i> .
17	Earlier in Monge, however, more context appeared as to the CBS Broadcasting reference and the
18	origin of the phrase "as part of a broader work" (at 1174):
19 20	Arrangement of a work in a photo montage, however, can be
20	transformative where copyrighted material is incorporated into other material. For example, the use of a brief segment of a riot
21	clip in a promotional video was deemed to be fair use.
22	This earlier explanation in <i>Monge</i> informs us as to the meaning of the later phrase
23	"as part of a broader work." A montage "can be" transformative — depending. "Can be" does
24	not mean "is be," as Google would have our jury infer. Of course, incorporating copyrighted
25	material into a larger work "can be," depending on other circumstances, enough to warrant fair
26	use. The actual montage facts recited in L.A. News Services v. CBS Broadcasting, Inc., 305 F.3d
27	924 (9th Cir. 2002), bear this out. And, Monge itself involved incorporation of the wedding
28	photos into a larger work and that fact was decidedly not enough to excuse the piracy by

the tabloid. Piracy as well as fair use both will almost always involve using the copyrighted material as part of a larger work. This consideration does not help distinguish between allowed uses versus disallowed uses.

In sum, Google has cleverly seized on a snippet of six words in a squib placed by the Federal Circuit in its opinion and ignored the actual holding (to the contrary) of the Ninth Circuit decision cited (Monge). Both sides have been guilty of this and have repeatedly tried to squeeze too much out of our appellate opinion, yet time is too short to call out every instance. Google's request is **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.** 

Dated: May 2, 2016.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**