1		
2		
-3		
4		
5	5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7		
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,	No. C 10-03561 WHA
11	Plaintiff,	
12	2 v.	
13	GOOGLE INC.,	FURTHER ITEMS FOR
14	Derendant.	THURSDAY HEARING
15	/	
16	In addition to the questions set forth in the agenda for Thursday's hearing, the parties	
17	shall please be prepared to address the following:	
18	1. Could OEMs have made proprietary changes to an OpenJDK-	
19 20	based version of Android without implicating the viral effect of the GPLv2? If	
20 21	so, how? If not, why not? To the extent the answers differ between a	
21 22	hypothetical implementation in 2007 and the 2015 implementation in Android N,	
22	please identify the differences.	
23 24	2. To what extent is it true th	at the Classpath Exception disposed of
25	the requirement imposed on licensees (under the GPLv2) to contribute back to the	
26	public domain all code written by the licensees using OpenJDK, including	
27	reimpiemented APIs?	
28		1 m Alma
	Ducu. May 7, 2010.	WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court For the Northern District of California