

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
GOOGLE INC.,  
Defendant.

No. C 10-03561 WHA

**ORDER PARTIALLY  
GRANTING MOTION  
TO FILE DOCUMENTS  
UNDER SEAL**

Google Inc. seeks leave to file portions of its *Daubert* motion and supporting documents under seal (Dkt. No. 170). As to exhibits A, H, I, J, L, M, P, Q, R, and W to the Weingaertner declaration, the motion is **GRANTED**. Google may file those documents under seal.

As to the Leonard declaration and the brief, however, the administrative motion cannot be granted at this time. The redacted portions of those documents include public information, and the supporting declaration submitted by Google is too general to support the sealing of any confidential Google information (Dkt. No. 170-1). Counsel will be given one opportunity to remedy these deficiencies as follows.

By **JUNE 24, 2011**, Google may submit a supplemental declaration supporting its administrative motion and more narrowly redacted versions of the brief and the Leonard declaration. The supplemental declaration should specifically identify any confidential Google information to be redacted from those documents and explain why it is sealable. Only confidential material that is specifically addressed in either the supplemental declaration or the


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Sarboraria declaration (Dkt. No. 184 at ¶ 13) may be redacted. Additionally, counsel should submit chambers copies of the full documents with the redacted portions highlighted.

Counsel are reminded that no document, declaration, or motion (including administrative motions) may be filed under seal without an individualized sealing order, and that other relevant guidelines are set forth in the local rules and the protective order for this action (Dkt. No. 68).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: June 21, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE