

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,
Plaintiff,

No. C 10-03561 WHA


v.

GOOGLE INC.,
Defendant.

**REQUEST FOR RESPONSE
RE TECHNICALLY NECESSARY
COPYING**

In connection with the pending Rule 50 motion by Oracle, the Court asks both sides to explain how, if at all, Java programmers knew which classes and methods in the Java library were technically required to write in the language and which were not. Is this explained in the book? In the code comments? Or would a programmer have to deconstruct the Java language itself to find the invocations of classes and methods in the library? Please stick to the trial record. If the essential ones were not readily identifiable and the essential ones were mixed in with the nonessential ones, should all be treated as fair use? Google should answer in its opposition due Saturday and Oracle may file a reply brief on **TUESDAY BY NOON.**

Dated: May 20, 2016.


WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE