1	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP MICHAEL A. JACOBS (Bar No. 111664)	
2	mjacobs@mofo.com	
3	MARC DAVID PETERS (Bar No. 211725) mdpeters@mofo.com	
	DANIEL P. MUINO (Bar No. 209624)	
4	dmuino@mofo.com 755 Page Mill Road	
5	Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018	104 0702
6	Telephone: (650) 813-5600 / Facsimile: (650) 4	+94-0792
7	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP DAVID BOIES (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
	dboies@bsfllp.com	
8	333 Main Street Armonk, NY 10504	
9	Telephone: (914) 749-8200 / Facsimile: (914) 7	749-8300
10	STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (Bar No. 144177) sholtzman@bsfllp.com	
11	1999 Harrison St., Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612	
	Telephone: (510) 874-1000 / Facsimile: (510) 8	374-1460
12	ORACLE CORPORATION	
13	DORIAN DALEY (Bar No. 129049)	
14	dorian.daley@oracle.com DEBORAH K. MILLER (Bar No. 95527)	
15	deborah.miller@oracle.com MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (Bar No. 2110	500)
	matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com	500)
16	500 Oracle Parkway Redwood City, CA 94065	
17	Telephone: (650) 506-5200 / Facsimile: (650) 5	506-7114
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff ORACLE AMERICA, INC.	
19	UNITED STATE:	S DISTRICT COURT
20	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
21	SAN FRANC	ISCO DIVISION
22	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.	Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA
23	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF RUCHIKA
24	V.	AGRAWAL IN SUPPORT OF ORACLE AMERICA, INC.'S OPPOSITIONS TO
25	GOOGLE INC.	GOOGLE'S MOTIONS <i>IN LIMINE</i> NOS. 1 THROUGH 5
26	Defendant.	Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor
27		Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup
28		
-	AGRAWAL DECL. ISO OPPOSITIONS TO GOOGLE'S MOTIC	ons <i>in Limine</i> nos. 1 Through 5
	CASE NO. CV 10-03561 WHA pa-1489921	

1	I, Ruchika Agrawal, declare as follows:
2	I am an attorney at Morrison & Foerster LLP and am counsel of record to Plaintiff Oracle
3	America, Inc. ("Oracle"). I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein and, if called
4	to testify, could and would testify competently to the following.
5	1. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1-1 are true and correct copies of excerpts of the
6	transcript of the deposition of Tim Lindholm taken on September 7, 2011.
7	2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1-2 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
8	by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-12-00000115.
9	3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1-3 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
10	by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-14-00001233.
11	4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1-4 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
12	by Google in this case bearing bates number GOOGLE-00001772 through Google-00-00001781.
13	5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1-5 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
14	by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-12-00000472 through GOOGLE-
15	12-00000476.
16	6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1-6 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
17	by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-12-00000656.
18	7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1-7 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
19	by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-12-00018231.
20	8. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1-8 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
21	by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE 01-00018836.
22	9. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1-9 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
23	by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-12-00078864 through GOOGLE-
24	12-00078865.
25	10. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1-10 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
26	by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-12-10000011.
27	11. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1-11 are true and correct copies of excerpts of the
28	transcript of the deposition of Jeef Kaul taken on August 5, 2011.
	AGRAWAL DECL. ISO OPPOSITIONS TO GOOGLE'S MOTIONS <i>IN LIMINE</i> NOS. 1 THROUGH 5 CASE NO. CV 10-03561 WHA pa-1489921

1	12. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1-12 are true and correct copies of excerpts of the	
2	transcript of the deposition of Hasan Rizvi taken on July 28, 2011.	
3	13. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-1 is a true and correct copy of an excerpt of Android	
4	Designing for Performance available at	
5	http://developer.android.com/guide/practices/design/performance.html.	
6	14. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-2 is a true and correct of copy of an excerpt of	
7	Android 2.2 Platform Highlights available at http://developer.android.com/sdk/android-2-2-	
8	highlights.html.	
9	15. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-3 is a true and correct copy of a document produced	
10	by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-04-00055098 through GOOGLE-	
11	04-00055099.	
12	16. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-4 are true and correct copies of excerpts of the	
13	transcript of the deposition of Daniel Morrill taken on July 12, 2011.	
14	17. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-5 are true and correct copies of excerpts from the	
15	Opening Expert Report of John C. Mitchell Regarding Patent Infringement, dated August 8, 2011	
16	18. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-6 are true and correct copies of excerpts of the	
17	transcript of the deposition of John C. Mitchell taken on September 6, 2011.	
18	19. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-7 are true and correct copies of excerpts of the	
19	Summary and Report of Robert ("Bob") G. Vandette, dated August 8, 2011.	
20	20. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-8 are true and correct copies of excerpts of the	
21	Summary and Report of Noel Poore, dated August 8, 2011.	
22	21. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-9 are true and correct copies of excerpts of the	
23	Summary and Report of Erez Landau, dated August 8, 2011.	
24	22. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-10 are true and correct copies of excerpts of the	
25	transcript of the deposition of Erez Landau taken on September 14, 2011.	
26	23. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-11 are true and correct copies of excerpts of the	
27	transcript of the deposition of Robert G. Vandette taken on September 7, 2011.	
28		
	AGRAWAL DECL. ISO OPPOSITIONS TO GOOGLE'S MOTIONS <i>IN LIMINE</i> NOS. 1 THROUGH 5 CASE NO. CV 10-03561 WHA pa-1489921	2

1	24. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-12 are true and correct copies of excerpts of the
2	transcript of the deposition of Noel Poore taken on September 7, 2011.
3	25. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-13 is a true and correct copy of an excerpt of
4	Android Developers Blog: Dalvik JIT available at http://android-
5	developers.blogspot.com/2010/05/dalvik-jit.html.
6	26. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-14 s a true and correct copy of a document produced
7	by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-02-00465974 through GOOGLE-
8	02-00465975.
9	27. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-15 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
10	by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-06-00238120 through GOOGLE-
11	06-00238121.
12	28. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2-16 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
13	by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-04-00083077.
14	29. Attached as Exhibit 2-17 is a true and correct copy of an excerpt of Android
15	Developers Blog: Nexus One Developer Phone available at http://android-
16	developers.blogspot.com/2010/08/nexus-one-developer-phone.html.
17	30. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3-1 is a true and correct copy of a letter I received via
18	e-mail from Christa Anderson of Keker & Van Nest LLP on September 26, 2011, purporting to
19	submit the Expert Report of Iain M. Cockburn to the Court in camera.
20	31. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3-2 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the
21	transcript of the hearing on Google's Daubert motion, held in open court on July 21, 2011.
22	32. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3-3 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
23	by Oracle in this case bearing production number OAGOOGLE0000357494.
24	33. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3-4 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
25	by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-01-00065669.
26	34. Exhibit 3-5 was not used.
27	
28	
	AGRAWAL DECL. ISO OPPOSITIONS TO GOOGLE'S MOTIONS <i>IN LIMINE</i> NOS. 1 THROUGH 5 CASE NO. CV 10-03561 WHA pa-1489921

1	35. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3-6 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from a
2	document produced by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-26-00031474-
3	497.
4	36. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3-7 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
5	by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-01-00017222–227.
6	37. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3-8 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
7	by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-58-00029945.
8	38. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3-9 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
9	by Oracle in this case bearing production number OAGOOGLE0002518850–855.
10	39. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3-10 is a true and correct copy of a document produced
11	by Oracle in this case bearing production number OAGOOGLE0000489235–237.
12	40. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3-11 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from
13	transcript of the deposition of Edward Screven taken on July 29, 2011.
14	41. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4-1 are true and correct copies of excerpts of the
15	transcript of the deposition of Peter Kessler taken on August 4, 2011.
16	42. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4-2 are true and correct copies of excerpts of the
17	transcript of the deposition of John Pampuch taken on July 29, 2011.
18	43. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4-3 are true and correct copies of excerpts of the
19	Expert Report of Dr. Benjamin F. Goldberg Regarding Validity of Patents-In-Suit, submitted to
20	Google on August 25, 2011.
21	44. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5-1 is a true and correct copy of Oracle's Second
22	Supplemental Patent Local Rule 3-1 Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement
23	Contentions, dated April 1, 2011.
24	45. Exhibit 5-2 was not used.
25	46. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5-3 is a true and correct copy of a Subpoena to Testify
26	at a Deposition in a Civil Action dated July 13, 2011.
27	47. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5-4 are true and correct copies of excerpts of the
28	transcript of the deposition of Rafael Camargo, taken September 8, 2011.
	AGRAWAL DECL. ISO OPPOSITIONS TO GOOGLE'S MOTIONS <i>IN LIMINE</i> NOS. 1 THROUGH 5 CASE NO. CV 10-03561 WHA pa-1489921

1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that to the best of
2	my knowledge the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 4, 2011, in Palo Alto,
3	California.
4	/s/ Ruchika Agrawal
5	/s/ <i>Ruchika Agrawal</i> Ruchika Agrawal
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	AGRAWAL DECL. ISO OPPOSITIONS TO GOOGLE'S MOTIONS <i>IN LIMINE</i> NOS. 1 THROUGH 5 CASE NO. CV 10-03561 WHA pa-1489921 5