EXHIBIT 4-1

1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	
5	
6	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,)
7	Plaintiff,)
8	vs.) No. CV 10-03561 WHA
9	GOOGLE, INC.,
10	Defendant.)
11)
12	
13	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
14	
15	Videotaped Federal Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition
16	of PETER B. KESSLER, Ph.D., taken at 755 Page
17	Mill Road, Palo Alto, California, commencing
18	at 9:39 a.m., Thursday, August 4, 2011, before
19	Leslie Rockwood, RPR, CSR No. 3462.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	PAGES 1 - 208
	Page 1

1	position is that the JDK does practice the '205.	
2	Q. BY MR. KAMBER: What is the basis for that	
3	belief?	
4	MS. AGRAWAL: Same objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: Communication with the	11:03:02
6	attorneys.	
7	Q. BY MR. KAMBER: Do you have any other basis	
8	for the belief that JDK 1.2 practices the asserted claims	
9	of the '205 patent?	
10	MS. AGRAWAL: Same objections.	11:03:18
11	THE WITNESS: My understanding of the '205	
12	comes from my communications with the attorneys. And	
13	using that information, I can look in the source code.	
14	Q. BY MR. KAMBER: I'm not sure I understand	
15	that answer, Dr. Kessler.	11:03:39
16	My question is: Do you have any other basis	
17	besides conversations with counsel to believe that JDK	
18	1.2 practices the asserted claims of the '205 patent?	
19	MS. AGRAWAL: Same objections.	
20	THE WITNESS: In addition to information that	11:03:55
21	I've obtained from the attorneys, I have my reading of	
22	the code.	
23	Q. BY MR. KAMBER: Which code?	
24	MS. AGRAWAL: Same objections.	
25	THE WITNESS: In the case of the '205, I	11:04:11
		Page 53

1	would think it was the fast_invokevfinal.	
2	Q. BY MR. KAMBER: Are there any other is	
3	there any other code that you're aware of besides	
4	fast_invokevfinal that Oracle claims practices the '205	ļ
5	patent?	11:04:46
6	MS. AGRAWAL: Same objections.	
7	THE WITNESS: There may well be other	
8	examples of my attorneys' interpretation of the '205 in	
9	the source code, but the one that I know about is in	
10	fast_invokevfinal.	11:05:07
11	Q. BY MR. KAMBER: Okay. And you by "you"	
12	you're speaking on behalf of Oracle here today; correct?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. So the only source code that Oracle is taking	
15	a position on with respect to whether it practices the	11:05:23
16	'205 patent is the fast_invokevfinal method; correct?	
17	MS. AGRAWAL: Same objection.	
18	MR. KAMBER: Excuse me. Fast_invokevfinal.	
19	THE WITNESS: V final.	•
20	MS. AGRAWAL: Same objections.	11:05:37
21	THE WITNESS: That's certainly one of the	
22	so I don't want to limit myself to fast_invokevfinal if	
23	there are other instances.	
24	Q. BY MR. KAMBER: Okay. But I'm trying to	
25	understand. We're I'm here on behalf of my client to	11:05:55
		Page 54

1	Q. BY MR. KAMBER: Is it fair to say that Oracle	
2	can't identify an earlier date of conception for the	
3	invention allegedly set forth in the asserted claims of	
4	the '104 patent?	
5	MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.	16:45:55
6	THE WITNESS: I do not know of an earlier	
7	date of conception for the '104.	
8	Q. BY MR. KAMBER: Same questions with respect	
9	to reduction to practice. Is Oracle able to identify any	3
10	date prior to the date of the patent, the filing of the	16:46:16
11	patent, I should say, at which the inventions allegedly	
12	set forth in the asserted claims of the '104 patent were	
13	reduced to practice?	
14	MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.	
15	THE WITNESS: I do not know of any such	16:46:32
16	information.	
17	Q. BY MR. KAMBER: Which products implement the	
18	alleged invention of the '104 patent?	
19	MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.	
20	THE WITNESS: There's a list of them on	16:47:05
21	page 9 of Exhibit 329.	
22	Q. BY MR. KAMBER: Is the list on page 9 of	
23	Exhibit 329 a complete list of the instrumentalities of	
24	Oracle that practice the asserted claims of the '104	
25	reissue patent?	16:47:30
		Page 197

1	A. To my knowledge, it is.	
2	Q. What is the basis of that knowledge?	
3	MS. AGRAWAL: Caution the witness not to	
4	reveal attorney-client communications, but to the extent	
5	that you don't, go ahead and answer.	16:47:46
6	THE WITNESS: For some of them, it's my	
7	examination of the source code; for others, it's	
8	conversations with other engineers.	
9	Q. BY MR. KAMBER: Okay. With respect to let	
10	me ask: For which products did you do an evaluation of	16:48:06
11	the source code for whether it practices the asserted	
12	claims of the '104 reissue patent?	
13	MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.	
14	THE WITNESS: So the JDK is built on top of	
15	the JRE. I examined the source code for the JRE. I	16:48:37
16	examined the source code for HotSpot. And for the	1
17	others, I relied upon the opinions of other engineers who	
18	were more familiar with those source code bases.	
19	Q. BY MR. KAMBER: Which engineers did you speak	
20	to in order to determine whether the instrumentalities	16:48:55
21	listed on page 9 of Exhibit 329 practiced the asserted	
22	claims of the '104 patent?	
23	MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.	
24	THE WITNESS: There are several different	
25	products listed here that have different engineers. I	16:49:21
		age 198

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss:
2	COUNTY OF MARIN) .
3	
4	I, LESLIE ROCKWOOD, CSR No. 3462, do hereby
5	certify:
6	That the foregoing deposition testimony was
7	taken before me at the time and place therein set forth
8	and at which time the witness was administered the oath;
9	That testimony of the witness and all
10	objections made by counsel at the time of the examination
11	were recorded stenographically by me, and were thereafter
12	transcribed under my direction and supervision, and that
13	the foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate
14	record of all proceedings and testimony to the best of my
15	skill and ability.
16	I further certify that I am neither counsel
17	for any party to said action, nor am I related to any
18	party to said action, nor am I in any way interested in
19	the outcome thereof.
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name
21	this 5th day of August, 2011.
22	
23	Leslie Rockwood
24	(Xesla Josenwood
25	LESLIE ROCKWOOD, CSR. NO. 3462
	Page 205