Oracle America, Inc. v. Google Inc.

Dod. 510

I, Reid Mullen, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an associate in the law firm of Keker & Van Nest LLP, counsel to Google Inc. ("Google") in the present case. I submit this declaration in support of the parties' Joint Administrative Motion to Seal. I have knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called to testify as a witness thereto could do so competently under oath.
- 2. Portions of Google's Motions *in Limine* Nos. 1-5 quote or describe material that Google has designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY" pursuant to the Order Approving Stipulated Protective Order Subject to Stated Conditions [Dkt. No. 68] governing this case. Google does not disclose the designated material (described in further detail below) to the public in the normal course of business. Disclosure of this material would cause great and undue harm to Google's business.
- 3. Portions of Oracle's Motions *in Limine* Nos. 1-5 quote or describe material that Google has designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY" pursuant to the Order Approving Stipulated Protective Order Subject to Stated Conditions [Dkt. No. 68] governing this case. Google does not disclose the designated material (described in further detail below) to the public in the normal course of business. Disclosure of this material would cause great and undue harm to Google's business.
- 4. Portions of Oracle's Oppositions to Google's Motions *in Limine* Nos. 1-5 quote or describe material that Google has designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY" pursuant to the Order Approving Stipulated Protective Order Subject to Stated Conditions [Dkt. No. 68] governing this case. Google does not disclose the designated material (described in further detail below) to the public in the normal course of business. Disclosure of this material would cause great and undue harm to Google's business.
- 5. Exhibit E to the Declaration of Daniel P. Muino in Support of Oracle America, Inc.'s Motions *in Limine* Nos. 1 through 5 ("Muino Decl.") contains excerpts from the deposition transcript of Andrew Rubin taken on July 27, 2011 in this matter. Google designated those excepts HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because they contain

2

6

10

1112

13

1415

1617

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

- 6. Exhibit F to the Muino Decl. contains excerpts from the deposition transcript of Daniel Bornstein taken on May 16, 2011 in this matter. Google designated those excerpts HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because they contain detailed information about Google's product design and development.
- 7. Exhibit K to the Muino Decl. contains excerpts from the deposition transcript of Patrick Brady taken on July 21, 2011 in this matter. Google designated those excerpts HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because they contain detailed information about Google's product development.
- 8. Exhibit P to the Muino Decl. is a document produced by Google bearing bates number GOOGLE-12-100000011. This document is a version of the August 6, 2010 email from Tim Lindholm, which is the subject of Google's motion for relief from Magistrate Judge Ryu's nondispositive pretrial order in this case. All versions of the Lindholm email and drafts thereof are marked "PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION / ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT," and are designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY'S EYE'S ONLY" pursuant to the Order approving Stipulated Protective Order Subject to Stated Conditions [Dkt. No. 68] governing this case. The Lindholm email contains privileged information about Google's investigation of and potential responses to Oracle's infringement claims. But even leaving aside whether the email is privileged, Google also considers the information contained in the email to be highly confidential under the standard set forth in the protective order in this case. Under no circumstances would Google publicly disclose during the normal course of business, or absent a direct court order, any information about its litigation strategy or potential responses to claims asserted against it. Public disclosure of this information would cause significant and undue harm to Google's business.
- 9. Exhibit Q to the Muino Decl. contains excerpts from the deposition transcript of Tim Lindholm taken on September 7, 2011in this matter. Google designated those excerpts HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYE'S ONLY because they contain detailed information about Google's product development and design and about the Lindholm email,

which is the subject of Google's Motion for Relief from Magistrate Judge Ryu's nondispositive pretrial order in this case. For all the reasons in Paragraph 8 above, that exhibit should be sealed.

- 10. Exhibit 1-1 to the Declaration of Ruchika Agrawal in Support of Oracle America, Inc.'s Oppositions to Google's Motions *in Limine* Nos. 1-5 ("Agrawal Decl.") contains excerpts from the deposition transcript of Tim Lindholm. Google designated those excerpts HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because they contain discussion of Google's internal response to the threatened litigation.
- 11. Exhibit 1-2 to the Agrawal Decl. is a document produced by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-12-00000115. Google designated the document HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because it contains detailed information about Google's internal product strategy.
- 12. Exhibit 1-3 to the Agrawal Decl. is a document produced by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-14-00001233. Google designated the document HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because it contains detailed information about Google's internal product strategy.
- 13. Exhibit 1-4 to the Agrawal Decl. is a document produced by Google in this case bearing bates numbers GOOGLE-00001772 through Google-00-00001781. Google designated the document HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because it contains detailed information about Google's internal product strategy.
- 14. Exhibit 1-5 to the Agrawal Decl. is a document produced by Google in this case bearing production numbers GOOGLE-12-00000472 through GOOGLE-12-00000476. Google designated the document HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because it contains detailed information about Google's internal product strategy.
- 15. Exhibit 1-6 to the Agrawal Decl. is a document produced by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-12-00000656. Google designated the document CONFIDENTIAL because it contains detailed information about Google's internal product development strategy.
 - 16. Exhibit 1-7 to the Agrawal Decl. is a document produced by Google in this case

| bearing production number GOOGLE-12-00018231. | Google designated the Document |
|--|------------------------------------|
| HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY'S EYES | SONLY because it contains detailed |
| information about Google's internal product strategy | |

- 17. Exhibit 1-8 to the Agrawal Decl. is a document produced by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE 01-00018836. Google designated the Document HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because it contains detailed information about Google's internal product strategy.
- 18. Exhibit 1-9 to the Agrawal Decl. is a document produced by Google in this case bearing production numbers GOOGLE-12-00078864 through GOOGLE-12-00078865. Google designated the Document HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because it contains detailed information about Google's internal product strategy and development.
- 19. Exhibit 1-10 is a document produced by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-12-10000011. The document is another version of the Lindholm email, which is the subject of Google's motion for relief from Magistrate Judge Ryu's nondispositive pretrial order in this case. For all the reasons in Paragraph 8 above, that exhibit should be sealed.
- 20. Exhibit 2-3 to the Agrawal Decl. is a document produced by Google in this case bearing production numbers GOOGLE-04-00055098 through GOOGLE-04-00055099. Google designated the document HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because it contains detailed information about Google's internal product strategy and development.
- 21. Exhibit 2-4 to the Agrawal Decl. contains excerpts of the transcript of the deposition of Daniel Morrill taken on July 12, 2011. Those excerpts are designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because they contain detailed nonpublic information about Google's internal product design and development.
- 22. Exhibit 2-5 to the Agrawal Decl. contains excerpts from the Opening Expert Report of John C. Mitchell Regarding Patent Infringement, dated August 8, 2011. That document is designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because it contains detailed nonpublic information about Google's product design and development.
 - 23. Exhibit 2-14 to the Agrawal Decl. is a document produced by Google in this case

| bearing production numbers GOOGLE-02-00465974 through GOOGLE-02-00465975. Google |
|---|
| designated the document HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because in |
| contains detailed information about Google's internal product strategy and development. |

- Exhibit 2-15 to the Agrawal Decl. is a document produced by Google in this case bearing production numbers GOOGLE-06-00238120 through GOOGLE-06-00238121. Google designated the document HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because it contains detailed information about Google's internal product strategy and development.
- Exhibit 2-16 to the Agrawal Decl. is a document produced by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-04-00083077. Google designated the document HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because it contains detailed information
- Exhibit 3-4 to the Agrawal Decl. is a document produced by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-01-00065669. Google designated the document HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because it contains nonpublic information
- Exhibit 3-6 to the Agrawal Decl. contains excerpts from a document produced by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-26-00031474–497. Google designated the document HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because it contains nonpublic information about Google's business strategy.
- Exhibit 3-7 to the Agrawal Decl. is a document produced by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-01-00017222–227. Google designated the document HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because it contains nonpublic
- Exhibit 3-8 to the Agrawal Decl. is a document produced by Google in this case bearing production number GOOGLE-58-00029945. Google designated the document HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY because it contains nonpublic information about Google's business strategy.
 - 30. Exhibit 5-4 to the Agrawal Decl. contains excerpts of the transcript of the