

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,
Plaintiff,
v.
GOOGLE INC.,
Defendant.

No. C 10-03561 WHA

**ORDER RE ATTORNEY'S FEES
AND COSTS FOR THIRD
DAMAGES STUDY**

The Court expects Google to bill and for Oracle to pay promptly all amounts to be reimbursed under the recent order (Dkt. No. 702). Google should track its time so as to be formatted as follows should a controversy arise:

PROJECT: ABC DEPOSITION (2 DAYS IN FRESNO)


Date	Time-keeper	Description	Hours x	Rate =	Fee
01-08-01	XYZ	Assemble and photocopy exhibits for use in deposition.	2.0	\$100	\$200
01-09-01	RST	Review evidence and prepare to examine ABC at deposition.	4.5	\$200	\$900
01-10-01	XYZ	Research issue of work-product privilege asserted by deponent.	1.5	\$100	\$150
01-11-01	RST	Prepare for and take deposition.	8.5	\$200	\$1700
01-12-01	RST	Prepare for and take deposition.	<u>7.0</u>	\$200	<u>\$1400</u>
Project Total:			<u>23.5</u>		<u>\$4350</u>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

If a controversy arises over payment, a special master procedure will be established to resolve any issues. Counsel are requested to avoid this and cooperate in making all payments promptly. Please make a filing by **MARCH 16 AT NOON** describing whether all required payments are being made.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 25, 2012.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE