

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8

9 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

No. C 10-03561 WHA

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART
GOOGLE'S MOTION TO DEEM
ISSUES UNDISPUTED**

12 GOOGLE INC.,

13 Defendant.
14 _____/

15 Defendant Google Inc. filed a motion to deem three issues undisputed at trial. Oracle
16 opposed all three proposals. After reviewing both parties' submissions, this order holds that
17 Google's motion is **GRANTED IN PART** as follows.

18 The jury shall be told: "The Java programming language is open and free for anyone to
19 use but the parties disagree on whether the Java APIs and class libraries are part of the Java
20 programming language." This instruction reflects Oracle's concessions at hearings.

21 The jury shall be told: "The names of the various items appearing in the disputed API
22 package specifications, such as names of API files, packages, classes, and methods, are not
23 protected." This instruction reflects the Court's order on summary judgment (Dkt. No. 433).

24 Google's third proposal is not adopted and will be a matter of proof at trial.
25

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 Dated: April 11, 2012.

28 

WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE