

1 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (Bar No. 111664)  
 2 mjacobs@mofo.com  
 MARC DAVID PETERS (Bar No. 211725)  
 3 mdpeters@mofo.com  
 DANIEL P. MUINO (Bar No. 209624)  
 4 dmuino@mofo.com  
 755 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018  
 5 Telephone: (650) 813-5600 / Facsimile: (650) 494-0792

6 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
 DAVID BOIES (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
 7 dboies@bsfllp.com  
 333 Main Street, Armonk, NY 10504  
 8 Telephone: (914) 749-8200 / Facsimile: (914) 749-8300  
 STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (Bar No. 144177)  
 9 sholtzman@bsfllp.com  
 1999 Harrison St., Suite 900, Oakland, CA 94612  
 10 Telephone: (510) 874-1000 / Facsimile: (510) 874-1460

11 ORACLE CORPORATION  
 DORIAN DALEY (Bar No. 129049)  
 12 dorian.daley@oracle.com  
 DEBORAH K. MILLER (Bar No. 95527)  
 13 deborah.miller@oracle.com  
 MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (Bar No. 211600)  
 14 matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com  
 500 Oracle Parkway, Redwood City, CA 94065  
 15 Telephone: (650) 506-5200 / Facsimile: (650) 506-7114

16 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 19 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 20 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

21 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

22 Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 GOOGLE INC.

25 Defendant.

Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA

**SUBMISSION OF ORACLE'S  
 STATEMENT OF ISSUES  
 REGARDING COPYRIGHT**

Date: April 16, 2011  
 Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor  
 Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Pursuant to the Court’s request (ECF No. 854), Oracle America, Inc. prepared a summary of what items stand accused of copyright infringement using the Court’s proposal as a guide. Oracle’s summary identified the specific aspects of Android’s documentation and code that Oracle alleges infringe its Java copyrights. Google provided a competing list, and sought to revise the allegations in ways that Oracle thought were not accurate. Counsel for Oracle and Google met and conferred at length to discuss points of agreement and disagreement, and, although Oracle revised its summary as a result, the parties did not reach agreement. As the plaintiff, Oracle is entitled to frame its own copyright allegations, consistent with the pleadings and rulings in this case. Because the parties have reached an impasse on how to summarize the copyright allegations, Oracle submits its statement of copyright issues, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Dated: April 12, 2011

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

By: /s/ Marc David Peters

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.