| 1 | |
|----------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 6 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 7 | invitie ontited states district cooki |
| 8 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 9 | |
| 10 | ORACLE AMERICA, INC., No. C 10-03561 WHA |
| 11 | Plaintiff, |
| 12 | v. ORDER DENYING LEAVE |
| 13 | GOOGLE INC., TO FILE SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION |
| 14 | Defendant. |
| 15 | / |
| 16 | Pursuant to the order regarding motion practice (Dkt. No. 86), defendant Google Inc. |
| 17 | requests leave to file an early motion for summary judgment. The proposed motion would target |
| 18 | the copyright claim for relief (Count VIII) in Oracle America, Inc.'s amended complaint. |
| 19 | Plaintiff Oracle opposes Google's request on the grounds that the proposed summary judgment |
| 20 | motion "is neither ripe nor well-taken." Having considered both parties' submissions, this order |
| 21 | finds that good cause has not been shown to engage in a summary judgment battle at this time. |
| 22 | Google's request is DENIED without prejudice to renewal after a more complete evidentiary |
| 23 24 | record has been developed through discovery. |
| 24 25 | |
| 23 26 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 27 | Detail February 18 2011 |
| 28 | Dated: February 18, 2011. WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |

United States District Court For the Northern District of California