1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 ORACLE AMERICA, INC., No. C 10-03561 WHA 11 Plaintiff, 12 ORDER GRANTING v. ORACLE'S MOTION TO 13 GOOGLE INC., AUGMENT EXHIBIT LIST 14 Defendant. 15 16 Oracle's motion to augment its trial exhibits (Dkt. No. 907) is **GRANTED**. Although it 17 would have been better to have augmented sooner, there is still time to adjust prior to the actual 18 use at trial of these exhibits and Google has shown no prejudice. Both sides will be given some 19 opportunity to adjust the exhibit list. Google will get the same flexibility so long as it acts 20 timely. At some point, however, augmentation of the exhibit list will be terminated with or 21 without prejudice. This augmentation does not mean that the exhibits are admissible. 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24

Dated: April 16, 2012.

25

26

27

28

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE