1	
2	
3	
4 5	
5 6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
0 7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
, 8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., No. C 10-03561 WHA
11	Plaintiff,
12	v. ORDER RE BRIEF DUE SUNDAY
13	GOOGLE INC.,
14	Defendant.
15	/
16	The judge would like counsel to answer the following in the briefs due Sunday:
17	1. Do any of the Sun compiled lines in the 37 APIs call upon part or all of another
18	API as a step?
19	2. If so, do the accused APIs likewise call upon the same other API? That is, even
20	though the implementations are different from the Sun implementation, do the
21	accused APIs borrow from other APIs in the same pattern?
22	3. If the answer to question 1 is yes, do any of the compiled lines in the 37 Sun APIs
23	call upon part or all of another API outside the 37? Put differently, is all of the
24 25	cross referencing done within the 37?
25 26	Call upon, as used herein, means a line of code that invokes the name of the other API,
20 27	
27	
-0	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California including the arguments, and the using the return in the follow-on compiled lines. This would be
done to save reinventing the same code already resident in another API.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Wm Alma

Dated: April 21, 2012.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE