Oracle America, Inc. v. Google Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL TRIAL MINUTES

The Honorable WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Date: April 23, 2012

Case No.: C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC. v. GOOGLE INC.

Plaintiff's Counsel: Michael Jacobs; Dan Muino; Fred Norton; Andrew Temkin; David Boies; Dorian Daley Steven Holtzman; Safra Catz (Corporate Representative)

Defendant's Counsel: Robert Van Nest; Christa Anderson; Dan Purcell; Michael Kwun; Bruce Baber Renny Hwang; Catherine Lacavera (Corporate Representative)

Clerk: Dawn K. Toland	Court Reporter: Kathy Sullivan; Debra Pas

Trial Began: April 16, 2012 Further Trial: April 24, 2012 at 7:30 am

Trial Motions Heard:	Disposition
1.	
2.	
3	

Other:

Time in Trial: 6 hours 25 minutes

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No: <u>C10-03561 WHA</u>

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC. v. GOOGLE INC.

EXHIBIT and WITNESS LIST

JUDGE: WILLIAM H. ALSUP				ľ H I	PLAINTIFF ATTORNEY: Michael Jacobs; Dan Muino Fred Norton; Andrew Temkin David Boies; Dorian Daley Steven Holtzman	DEFENSE ATTORNEY : Robert Van Nest; Christa Anderson Dan Purcell; Michael Kwun Bruce Baber	
	L DAT 23, 201				REPORTER(S): Kathy Sullivan; Debra Pas	CLERK : Dawn Toland	
PLF NO.	DEF NO	DATE OFFERED	ID	REC	DESCRIPTION		BY
		<u>7:30 am</u>			Outside the presence of the jury. O mindful of what's in the trial recon issue of what goes to the jury or the advised the Court parties have stip exhibits: 26, 104, 157, 233, 234, 2 165, 383, 384, 416, 897, 729, 896. 896.6, 896.7, 896.7, 612, 617, and brief re findings of fact and conclu- for the admission of exhibit 1026 from a member of the jury.	rd. Counsel addressed the the Court. Michael Jacobs oulated to admit the following 251, 21, 172, 1029, 238, 34, 1, 896.2, 896.3, 896.4, 896.5, 1 2223. Plaintiff will submit a usion of law. Plaintiff argued	
		<u>8:09 am</u>			Reconvenejury present		
		<u>8:11 am</u>			Direct of Bob Lee continued. By	Michael Jacobs	
<u>610.</u> <u>2</u>			<u>x</u>	X	Java 2 Platform Standard Edition	5.0 API Specification	
<u>767</u>			<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>	Android API Documentation		
		<u>8:24 am</u>			Cross Examination by Bruce Babe	ers_	
	<u>405</u>		<u>x</u>	<u>X</u>	Email from Eric Schmidt to Bob L with you yesterday	ee re It was great chatting	
	<u>281</u>		<u>x</u>	<u>X</u>	Email from Bob Lee to Hioshi Loo	ckheimer re Nosers in 2009	
		<u>8:58 am</u>			Redirect Examination		
<u>1047</u>			<u>x</u>	<u>X</u>	FAQ Letter to Sun Microsystem		
<u>1045</u>			<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>	Apache Software Foundation Blog	J	
		<u>9:07 am</u>			Recross		
	<u>1045</u>		<u>x</u>	<u>X</u>	Apache Software Foundation Blog	J	
		<u>9:07 am</u>			Witness Excused		

					Plaintiff's witness Professor John Mitchell sworn-in. Direct by Michael Jacobs
<u>687</u>			<u>x</u>	<u>x</u>	CV of Professor Mitchell
3452			<u>x</u>		Demonstrative Chart drawn by Dr. Bloch
1028			<u>x</u>	<u>x</u>	Java Class Libraries Poster
		<u>9:30 am</u>			<u>Recess</u>
		<u>9:53 am</u>			Reconvenejury present
					Direct of Professor Mitchell continued
		<u>10:47 am</u>			Cross Examination by Robert Van Nest
	<u>686</u>		<u>x</u>		Opening Expert Report of John C Mitchell Regarding Copyright
	<u>2564</u>		<u>x</u>	<u>x</u>	Gosling, J., The Java Language Specification
	<u>980</u>		<u>x</u>	<u>x</u>	The Java Application Programming Interface, Volume 1
	<u>984</u>		x	x	The Java Language Specification Third Edition
	<u>1062</u>		<u>x</u>	<u>x</u>	Classes and Interfaces
		<u>11:15 am</u>			Recess
					Outside the presence of the jury - one juror stayed behind to address an issue regarding her employer
		<u>11:18 am</u>			Side Bar
		<u>11:23 am</u>			Recess
		<u>11:40 am</u>			Reconvenejury present
					Cross Examination continued
	<u>3452</u>		<u>x</u>		Demonstrative Chart drawn by Dr. Bloch
	<u>727</u>		<u>x</u>		Opening Expert Report of Marc Visnick Regarding Copyright
	<u>686</u>		<u>x</u>		Opening Expert Report of John C Mitchell Regarding Copyright
		<u>12;25 pm</u>			<u>Redirect</u>
<u>1072</u>			<u>x</u>	<u>x</u>	Accused API Packages and Files in Android
		<u>12:34 pm</u>			Witness Excused
					Court read a party stipulation
					Plaintiff's witness Andy Rubin sworn-in. Direct by David Boies
	<u>14</u>		<u>x</u>	<u>x</u>	Email from Andy Rubin to Sergey Brin
	<u>15</u>		<u>x</u>	<u>x</u>	Email from Andy Rubin to Rich Miner
	<u>18</u>		<u>x</u>	<u>x</u>	Email from Greg Stein to Andy Rubin
	<u>22</u>		<u>x</u>	X	Email from Karen Tsay to deal-review@google.com

	п	- 11	1	и — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
<u>29</u>		<u>X</u>	<u>x</u>	Email from Andy Rubin to Dick Wall
<u>131</u>		<u>X</u>	X	Email from Tim Lindholm to Andy Rubin re Another thought on Sun motivation
<u>140</u>		<u>X</u>	<u>x</u>	Email from Bill Coughran to Tim Lindholm and Andy Rubin
<u>147</u>		<u>X</u>	<u>x</u>	Email from Urs Hoelzle to Andy Rubin re Skelmir
<u>151</u>		<u>X</u>	x	Email from Steve Horowitz to Andy Rubin re GPS Notes
<u>154</u>		<u>X</u>	x	Email from Jason Harinstein to Andy Rubin
<u>158</u>		X	X	Email from Andyt@google.coom to tangjanfeng@chinamobile.com
<u>161</u>		<u>X</u>	<u>x</u>	Email rom Jason Harington to Andy Rubin re Project Guava
<u>165</u>		<u>X</u>	<u>x</u>	Email from Richard Miner to Anthony House
<u>180</u>		X	X	Email from Erin Fors to Michael Kirkland, Barry Schnitt and Andy Rubin
<u>183</u>		<u>x</u>	X	Emailfrom Eric Schmidt to Andy Rubin re Do you have time for <u>a call?</u>
<u>203</u>		<u>x</u>	X	Email from Andy Rubin to jtebbitt@google.com re Fwd: Sun slides
<u>207</u>		<u>x</u>		Email from Eric Schmidt to Andy Rubin re java phone
<u>3</u>		<u>X</u>	<u>x</u>	Email from Andy Rubin to Tim Lindholm re Review
<u>7</u>		<u>X</u>	<u>x</u>	Email from Tracey Cole to Andy Rubin
<u>12</u>		<u>X</u>	<u>x</u>	Email from Tim Lindholm to Andy Rubin
<u>1</u>		<u>X</u>	<u>x</u>	Android GPS Key Strategic Decisions Around Open Source
<u>17</u>		<u>X</u>	<u>x</u>	Email from Bill Coughran to Tim Lindholm re Travel
<u>18</u>		<u>X</u>	<u>x</u>	Email from Greg Stein to Andy Rubin re the open J2ME project
	<u>1:00 pm</u>			Recess
				Outside the presence of the jury. Defense raised a concern over the admission of a exhibits. Court provided the number of minutes used. Could the issue of wilfulness be advanced to phase one. Court asked counsel to respond to question re derivative work. Parties discussed other legal issues.
	<u>1:55 pm</u>			<u>Recess until 4/24/12 at 7:30 am</u>
			<u> </u>	