

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NADRA FOSTER,
Plaintiff,

No. C 10-3703 SI

v.

CITY OF BERKELEY, *et al.*,
Defendants.

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

SPECIAL VERDICT

We the jury unanimously find as follows on the questions submitted to us:

1 **Part A. Actions of Defendant Alexander McDougall:**

2 **Section 1983 claim - Excessive Force (against Defendant Alexander McDougall)**

3
4 1. Did plaintiff Nadra Foster prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
defendant Alexander McDougall used unconstitutional excessive force against her?

5 _____
6 Yes No

7 If your answer to this question is “Yes,” then please answer the next question. If your answer to this
8 question is “No,” go directly to Part B.

8 **Battery claim (against Defendant Alexander McDougall and the City of Berkeley)**

9 2. Did plaintiff Nadra Foster prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
10 defendant Alexander McDougall committed a battery against her?

11 _____
12 Yes No

13 Please answer the next question.

14 **Intentional infliction of emotional distress claim (against Defendant Alexander
McDougall and the City of Berkeley)**

15 3. Did plaintiff Nadra Foster prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
16 defendant Alexander McDougall committed intentional infliction of emotional distress against her?

17 _____
18 Yes No

19 Please go to Part B.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **Part B. Actions of Defendant Erik Keene:**

2

Section 1983 claim - Excessive Force (against Defendant Erik Keene)

3

4 1. Did plaintiff Nadra Foster prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
defendant Erik Keene used unconstitutional excessive force against her?

5

Yes _____ No _____

6

7 If your answer to this question is “Yes,” then please answer the next question. If your answer to this
question is “No,” go directly to Part C.

8

Battery claim (against Defendant Erik Keene and the City of Berkeley)

9

10 2. Did plaintiff Nadra Foster prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
defendant Erik Keene committed a battery against her?

11

Yes _____ No _____

12

Please answer the next question.

13

**Intentional infliction of emotional distress claim (against Defendant Erik Keene and
the City of Berkeley)**

14

15 3. Did plaintiff Nadra Foster prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
defendant Erik Keene committed intentional infliction of emotional distress against her?

16

Yes _____ No _____

17

18

Please go to Part C.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **Part C. Damages**

2

3 If you answered “Yes” to Question A(1), A(2), or A(3) as to defendant McDougall, then answer
4 the next question as to damages caused by defendant McDougall. If you answered “Yes” to Question
5 B(1), B(2), or B(3) as to defendant Keene, then answer the next question as to damages caused by
6 defendant Keene. Otherwise, go to the end of this verdict form, and sign and date it where indicated.

7

8 What is the amount of compensatory damages that plaintiff Foster proved, in accordance with
9 the instructions you have been given and the evidence in the case? In determining damages, you should
10 apportion the damages caused by each defendant; the amounts will be added.

11

12 1. Damages caused by defendant McDougall: \$ _____

13 2. Damages caused by defendant Keene \$ _____

14

15 Total Damages(1 + 2): \$ _____

16

17

18 Please go to Part D.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Part D. Malicious, Oppressive or Reckless Conduct:

If you answered “Yes” to Question A(1), A(2), or A(3), please answer this question; if not, go to the next question:

1. Re: Defendant McDougall: Did plaintiff Foster prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the conduct of defendant McDougall was malicious, oppressive or in reckless disregard of plaintiff’s rights, as those terms have been defined for you in these instructions?

Yes No

If you answered “Yes” to Question B(1), B(2), or B(3), please answer this question:

2. Re: Defendant Keene: Did plaintiff Foster prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the conduct of defendant Keene was malicious, oppressive or in reckless disregard of plaintiff’s rights, as those terms have been defined for you in these instructions?

Yes No

Dated:

FOREPERSON