## A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY **ATTEST**

By Delora Davis on Sep 16, 2010

FOR THE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

## UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**UNITED STATES** JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Sep 01, 2010

FILED **CLERK'S OFFICE** 

IN RE: ASBESTOS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION (NO. VI)

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

MDL No. 875

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-339) CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA

On July 29, 1991, the Panel transferred 21,937 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 771 F.Supp. 415 (J.P.M.L. 1991). Since that time, 85,362 additional actions have been transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Eduardo C. Robreno.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and assigned to Judge Robreno.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for the reasons stated in the order of July 29, 1991, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Eduardo C. Robreno.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 14 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 14-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Sep 16, 2010

CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION FOR THE PANEL:

effery N. Lüthi

Clerk of the Panel

a FRUE COPY CERTIFIED TO FROM THE RECORD

9-17-10 CATED

> DEPUTY CLERK, UTATED STATES DISTRICT COMPA CASTERIO DISTRICT OF PENASYLVANIA

## **SCHEDULE CTO-339 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

DIST.	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A. #</u>	CASE CAPTION	
ARIZONA				
AZ	3	10-8092	Samuel Martin v. Burlington Northern & Sante Fe Railway Co., et al.	
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN				
CAN	3	10-3329	Theresa Hinkle, et al. v. A.W. Chesterton Co., Inc., et al.	
CAN	3	10-3371	Joy Stevens, et al. v. A.W. Chesterton Co., et al.	
CAN	3	10-3382	Elizabeth Taylor, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.	
CAN	3	10-3468	Thomas O. Morris v. Crown Cork & Seal Co., Inc., et al.	
CAN	3	10-3714	Billy Goss v. Armstrong International, Inc., et al.	
~				
	CONNECTICUT			
CT	3	10-846	Lenore Waddington, etc. v. Air & Fluid Corp., et al.	
CT	3	10-953	William Turner v. General Electric Co., et al.	
CT	3	10-965	Alberta F. Houlihan v. General Electric Co., et al.	
CT	3	10-1003	Rudolph Bigda, et al. v. Air & Liquid Systems Corp., et al.	
CT	3	10-1004	Mario Zerbarini, et al. v. Air & Liquid Systems Corp., et al.	
CT	3	10-1005	Joyce Batchelder, etc. v. Air & Liquid Systems Corp., et al.	
CT	3	10-1055	Loring Bailey v. General Electric Co., et al.	
FLORIDA SOUTHERN				
		10-22891	Gale Lyautey, et al. v. Alfa Laval, Inc., et al. Opposed 9/16/10	
GEORGIA SOUTHERN				
GAS	5	10-75	Gloria F. Johns, et al. v. CSX Transportation, Inc.	
ILLINOIS NORTHERN				
ILN	1	10-4145	Pauline A. Love, et al. v. A.W. Chesterton Co., et al.	
NEW JERSEY				
NEW J		1 <del>0-4299</del>	Julia Denny v. Benjamin Foster Co., et al. Opposed 9/13/10	
CENT THE COURT IS A COURT IN				
TENNESSEE EASTERN  TNE 3 10-358 Robert W. Millsaps, et al. v. Aluminum Co. of America, et al.				
			Opposed 9/14/10	