Rambus I	nc. v.	International	Business	Machines	Corporation
r tarrio ao r		in to manor la	Babillooo		Corporation

nbus Inc. v. I	ternational Business Machines Corporat	ion			Do
	Case3:10-cv-04017-JSW	Document26	Filed11/16/10	Page1 of 7	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Barbara Clarke McCurdy (Admitted barbara.mccurdy@finnegan.com Naveen Modi (Admitted <i>pro hac vic</i> naveen.modi@finnegan.com Srikala P. Atluri (Admitted <i>pro hac</i> srikala.alturi@finnegan.com FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARA GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. 901 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 408-4000 Facsimile: (202) 408-4400 Tina E. Hulse (State Bar No. 232936 tina.hulse@finnegan.com FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARA GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. Stanford Research Park 3300 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 849-6600	re) vice) ABOW, 5)			
11	Facsimile: (650) 849-6666				
13	Attorneys for Defendant Rambus Inc.				
14	UNIT	ED STATES I	DISTRICT COUR	Г	
15	NORTH	IERN DISTRIG	CT OF CALIFORM	ΝA	
16	S	AN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION		
17					
18	INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,		e No. C 10-04017 lated Case: C 10-		
19 20	Plainti	ff, ST RE	IPULATED REQ SCHEDULING (UEST FOR ORDER CASE MANAGEMENT	ſ
21	V.		NFERENCE;		
22	RAMBUS INC.,	HU	PPORTING DEC ILSE;	LARATION OF TINA	. E.
23	Defend		ROPOSED] ORD	ER	
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
				STIPULATED REQUEST RE: RE Case Management (Case No. C 10 Docke	CONFERENCE

Dockets.Justia.com

Case3:10-cv-04017-JSW Document26 Filed11/16/10 Page2 of 7

1	Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff International Business Machines
2	Corporation ("IBM") and Defendant Rambus Inc. ("Rambus"), through their respective counsel of
3	record, stipulate to and respectfully request the Court to order as follows:
4	1. The Court held that <i>Rambus Inc. v. International Business Machines Corporation</i> ,
5	Case No. C 10-03736 JSW (N.D. Cal.) ("the Rambus action") is related to this action in its Related
6	Case Order entered on October 21, 2010 (Dkt. No. 16).
7	2. The Court ordered that the Case Management Conference in the <i>Rambus</i> action occur
8	on December 3, 2010, at 1:30 pm. Declaration of Tina E. Hulse ("Hulse Decl."), Ex. A.
9	3. The Court also scheduled the Case Management Conference in this action for
10	December 3, 2010, at 1:30 pm. See Dkt. No. 17.
11	4. Rambus filed a Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 19), which is scheduled to be heard on
12	January 14, 2011, at 9:00 am. See Dkt. No. 21.
13	5. The parties met and conferred on November 12, 2010, pursuant to Federal Rule of
14	Civil Procedure 26(f). Hulse Decl. ¶ 6.
15	6. The parties jointly request that the Court continue the Case Management Conference
16	for this action until the hearing on Rambus's Motion to Dismiss, i.e., January 14, 2011. The parties
17	are concurrently making a similar request in the <i>Rambus</i> action. The parties are requesting the Court
18	to continue the Case Management Conferences in the interests of efficiency, as both parties' lead
19	counsel reside on the East Coast and moving the Case Management Conferences would allow the
20	Court to hear all issues at once and would obviate the need for counsel to take two trips to California
21	within six weeks. See id. ¶¶ 6-8.
22	7. The parties further request that, in light of the new proposed date for the Case
23	Management Conference, the Joint Case Management Statement, Rule 26(f) Report, and Rule 26(a)
24	initial disclosures all be due on January 7, 2011.
25	By her signature below, counsel for Defendant attests that counsel for Plaintiff concurs in the
26	filing of this stipulation.
27	
28	

	Case3:10-cv-04017-JSW Do	ocument26 Filed11/16/10 Page3 of 7
1		Respectfully submitted,
2		
3	Date: November 16, 2010	By: <u>/s/ Edward A. Kmett</u> Edward A. Kmett (SBN: 204374)
		ekmett@fchs.com
4		FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
5		650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1600 Costa Mesa, CA 92626
6		Telephone: (714) 540-8700
		Facsimile: (714) 540-9823
7		Anthony M. Zupcic (Admitted pro hac vice)
8		azupcic@fchs.com
9		Robert H. Fischer (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) rfischer@fchs.com
10		Douglas Sharrott (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
11		dsharrott@fchs.com
11		FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 1290 Avenue of the Americas
12		New York, New York 10112
13		Telephone: (212) 218-2100
		Facsimile: (212) 218-2200
14		Kenneth R. Adamo (Admitted pro hac vice)
15		kradamo@jonesday.com
16		JONES DAY
17		901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190
17		Telephone: (216) 586-7120
18		Facsimile: (216) 579-0212
19		Attorneys for Plaintiff,
20		International Business Machines Corporation
21	Date: November 16, 2010	By: <u>/s/ Tina E. Hulse</u>
22		Tina E. Hulse (CA Bar No. 232936) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
23		GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. 3300 Hillview Avenue
		Palo Alto, California 94304-1203 Telephone:(650) 849-6600
24		Facsimile: (650) 849-6666
25		tina.hulse@finnegan.com
26		
27		
28		
		2 STIPULATED REQUEST RE: RESCHEDUI CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERE

	Case3:10-cv-04017-JSW Document26 Filed11/16/10 Page4 of 7
1 2 3 4 5	Barbara Clarke McCurdy (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Naveen Modi (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Srikala P. Atluri (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. 901 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone:(202) 408-4000 Facsimile: (202) 408-4400 barbara.mccurdy@finnegan.com
6	barbara.mccurdy@finnegan.com naveen.modi@finnegan.com srikala.atluri@finnegan.com
7 8	Attorneys for Defendant, Rambus Inc.
9	Kunious inc.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
22	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	STIPULATED REQUEST RE: RESCHEDULING

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

22

23

SUPPORTING DECLARATION OF TINA E. HULSE

I, TINA E. HULSE, declare as follows:

I am an associate at Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.,
 counsel for Plaintiff Rambus Inc. ("Rambus"). I submit this declaration in support of the parties'
 Stipulation Rescheduling Case Management Conference. I make this declaration of my own
 personal knowledge and will competently testify thereto if called upon to do so.

2. On October 21, 2010, the Court held in its Related Case Order that this action is related to *Rambus Inc. v. International Business Machines Corporation*, Case No. C 10-03736 JSW (N.D. Cal.) ("the *Rambus* action"). *See* Dkt. No. 16.

3. The Court's September 21, 2010, Order Setting Case Management Conference and Requiring Joint Case Management Conference Statement (Dkt. No. 13) in the *Rambus* action (a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit A) ordered that the Case Management Conference occur on December 3, 2010, at 1:30 pm.

4. On October 28, 2010, in its Order Setting Case Management Conference and
Requiring Joint Case Management Conference Statement (Dkt. No. 17), the Court ordered that the
Case Management Conference would also occur on December 3, 2010, at 1:30 pm.

5. On October 29, 2010, Rambus filed a Motion to Dismiss Complaint (Dkt. No. 19),
which is scheduled to be heard by this Court on January 14, 2011, at 9:00 am. *See* Re-Notice of
Defendant Rambus Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Complaint (Dkt. No. 21).

6. The parties met and conferred on November 12, 2010, pursuant to Federal Rule of
Civil Procedure 26(f). During that conference, which I attended, Rambus proposed stipulating that
the Case Management Conferences for both actions be postponed until the hearing on Rambus's
Motion to Dismiss.

7. Because both parties' lead counsel reside on the East Coast, continuing the Case
Management Conference for both actions until the hearing on Rambus's Motion to Dismiss serves
the interests of efficiency, as doing so would allow the Court to hear all issues at once and would
obviate the need for counsel to take two trips to California within six weeks.

28

Case3:10-cv-04017-JSW Document26 Filed11/16/10 Page6 of 7

1	8. On November 15, 2010, counsel for IBM informed counsel for Rambus that IBM				
2	agreed to stipulate to changing the date of the Case Management Conference from December 3,				
3	2010, to January 14, 2011, and the date for filing the Rule 26(f) report and serving Rule 26(a) initial				
4	disclosures to January 7, 2011. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the November 15,				
5	2010, e-mail correspondence from Douglas Sharrott, counsel for IBM, to Barbara McCurdy, counsel				
6	for Rambus, indicating IBM's agreement to the stipulation.				
7	9. There have been no previous time modifications of the Case Management Conference				
8	following reassignment to this Court.				
9	10. A change in time in the Case Management Conference from December 3, 2010, to				
10	January 14, 2011, will not affect the schedule or any current deadlines, as a case schedule has not yet				
11	been entered in this case.				
12	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is				
13	true and correct and this declaration was executed this 16th day of November, 2010, at Palo Alto,				
14	California.				
15	By: <u>/s/ Tina E. Hulse</u> Tina E. Hulse				
16	Tina E. Huise				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

	Case3:10-cv-04017-JSW Document26 Filed11/16/10 Page7 of 7
1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	Pursuant to the stipulation between the parties and good cause appearing, it is hereby
3	ORDERED that:
4	1. The Case Management Conference shall be rescheduled to January 14, 2011,
5	following arguments regarding Rambus's Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 19).
6	2. The Joint Case Management Conference Statement and Rule 26(f) Report shall be
7	filed no later than January 7, 2011.
8	3. The parties shall serve Rule 26(a) initial disclosures no later than January 7, 2011.
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	
11	
12	Date: November $\frac{24}{24}$, 2010
13	The Honorable Jeffrey S. White
14	United Etates District Judge Northern District of California
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21 22	
22	
23 24	
25	
23 26	
20	
28	
	6 STIPULATED REQUEST RE: RESCHEDULI CASE MANAGEMENT CONFEREN