Rambus Inc. v. International Business Machines Corporation

Doc 70

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff Rambus Inc. ("Rambus") and Defendant International Business Machines Corporation ("IBM"), through their respective counsel of record, respectfully request, and with the Court's permission, stipulate to a one-week extension of the deadline for filing the Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement pursuant to Patent L.R. 4.3 so that the parties can discuss an alternative proposal for disposing of this matter and related case, *International Business Machines Corp. v. Rambus Inc.*, No. C 10-04017 JSW. By this extension, the parties do not request modifying the previously ordered briefing schedule for claim construction or the dates for the Court's technology tutorial or claim construction hearing. More specifically, with the Court's permission, the parties hereby stipulate that the current schedule set forth in the July 12, 2011, Stipulated Order [Dkt. 68] be modified as follows:

EVENT	CURRENT SCHEDULE	PROPOSED DATE
Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement (Patent L.R. 4-3) – Includes Expert Testimony. Parties must attach copies of patents, make available file histories to Court for each involved patent	August 12, 2011	August 19, 2011
Completion of Claim Construction Discovery (Patent L.R. 4-4)	September 6, 2011	Same
Rambus Opening Claim Construction Brief (Patent L.R. 4-5(a)). 25 page limit	September 19, 2011	Same
IBM Responsive Claim Construction Brief (Patent L.R. 4-5(b)). 25 page limit	October 4, 2011	Same
Rambus - Reply Brief and any evidence directly rebutting the supporting evidence (Patent L.R. 4-5(c)) 15 page limit Amended Joint Claim	October 11, 2011	Same
Construction Statement		

Case3:10-cv-03736-JSW Document69 Filed08/10/11 Page3 of 6

EVENT	CURRENT SCHEDULE	PROPOSED DATE	
Tutorial for the Court	November 1, 2011	Same	
Claim Construction ("Markman") Hearing (Patent L.R. 4-6).	November 15, 2011	Same	
E.R. + 0).			
By her signature below, cou	unsel for Plaintiff attests that counse	el for Defendant concurs in	
filing of this stipulation.			
	Respectfully submitted,		
Date: August 10, 2011	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.		
	D.,, /-/ T. T. T. T.		
By: /s/ Tina E. Hulse Tina E. Hulse (CA Bar No. 232936)			
	tina.hulse@finnegan. FINNEGAN, HEND	com ERSON, FARABOW,	
	GARRETT & DUN 3300 Hillview Avenu		
	Palo Alto, California 94304-1203 Telephone:(650) 849-6600		
	Facsimile: (650) 849		
		urdy (Admitted Pro Hac Vi	
	barbara.mccurdy@fii Naveen Modi (Admir	tted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
	naveen.modi@finneg FINNEGAN, HEND	gan.com ERSON, FARABOW,	
	GARRETT & DUN 901 New York Aven		
	Washington, D.C. 20 Telephone:(202) 408	0001	
	Facsimile: (202) 408		
	Attorneys for Plaintif	f,	
	Rambus Inc.		
Dated: August 10, 2011	By:/s/ Edward A. Kn	nett	
	Edward A. Kmett (SI	BN: 204374) LLA, HARPER & SCINTO	
	650 Town Center Dri	ive, Suite 1600	
	Costa Mesa, CA 926 Telephone: (714) 540 Facsimile: (714) 540)-8700	
	Attorneys for Defend International Busines	ant, s Machines Corporation	
		Carry Agrees and Incorporate One	

SOIT ORTHVO

I, TINA E. HULSE, declare as follows:

SUPPORTING DECLARATION OF TINA E. HULSE

1. I am an associate at Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P., counsel for Plaintiff Rambus Inc. ("Rambus"). I submit this declaration in support of the parties' Stipulation

Regarding Case Schedule. I make this declaration of my own personal knowledge and will competently testify thereto if called upon to do so.

- 2. On April 15, 2011, May 12, 2011, June 15, 2011, and July 12, 2011, the Court entered Stipulated Orders [Dkt. Nos. 60, 62, 66 and 68], which set forth the claim construction briefing schedule for this action. The June 15, 2011, Stipulated Order [Dkt. No. 66] also set the technology tutorial for November 1, 2011, at 1:30 p.m. and the *Markman* Hearing for November 15, 2011, at 1:30 p.m.
- 3. The parties previously requested extensions of time to discuss settlement of this case and related case, *International Business Machines Corp. v. Rambus Inc.*, No. C 10-04017 JSW. While negotiations had come to a standstill, the parties are currently discussing an alternative proposal to dispose of both this case and the related case. As such, the parties seek a continuance of one week for filing the Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement to allow the parties to further discuss this option.
- 4. The Court previously granted the Stipulated Orders to permit settlement discussions. Otherwise, the only time modification in this case was to change the Case Management Conference from December 3, 2010, to January 14, 2011, to coincide with the hearing on Rambus's motion to dismiss in related case, *International Business Machines Corp. v. Rambus Inc.*, No. C 10-04017 JSW. *See* Dkt. No. 48.
- 5. The requested modification in the current case schedule will not affect any other pre-trial deadlines, such as the previously ordered briefing schedule for claim construction or the dates for the technology tutorial or claim construction hearing, as the pre-trial schedule has not yet been entered in this case.

Case3:10-cv-03736-JSW Document69 Filed08/10/11 Page5 of 6

1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is		
2	true and correct, and this declaration was executed this 10th day of August, 2011.		
3			
4	/s/ Tina E. Hulse Tina E. Hulse		
5	Tilla E. Huise		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20 21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: August 11, 2011 he Honor ble Jeffrey S. White United States District Judge Northern District of California