

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
Northern District of California

DIWAN WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

v.

SYSCO SAN FRANCISCO, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

No. CV10-03760 MEJ

**ORDER RE DISCOVERY DISPUTE  
(Dkt. No. 18)**

The Court is in receipt of the parties' joint discovery dispute letter, filed June 10, 2011. Dkt. No. 18. Upon review of the letter, the Court hereby ORDERS as follows:

- 1) Defendant shall respond to Request for Production Nos. 14 and 15, but limited to letters issued: (a) from the time the attendance policy was in effect in April 2009 through Plaintiff's termination in 2010; (b) to union employees; and (c) for attendance-related matters.

Defendants may redact the names of the employees, but shall note if an employee is/was a military service member.

- 2) Defendant need not respond to Request for Production No. 16 as it is overbroad.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: June 16, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Maria-Elena James  
Chief United States Magistrate Judge