| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | |
| 11 | EEOC, |
| 12 | Plaintiff(s),) No. C10-3826 BZ |
| 13 | |
| 14 |) ORDER OF CONDITIONAL UNITED COUNCIL OF HUMAN) DISMISSAL |
| 15 | SERVICES,) |
| 16 | Defendant(s).) |
| 17 | The parties to this matter having advised the Court that |
| 18 | they have agreed to a settlement, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that |
| 19 | this action is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE and all scheduled |
| 20 | matters are VACATED; provided, however, that any party may, |
| 21 | within 30 days of the date of this Order, move the Court to |
| 22 | restore this matter to the calendar on the grounds that the |
| 23 | settlement has not been consummated. |
| 24 | Dated: March 5, 2012 0 00 |
| 25 | Demand Jimmeman |
| 26 | Bernard Zimmerman United States Magistrate Judge |
| 27 | |
| 28 | G:\BZALL\-BZCASES\EEOC V. UNITED COUNCIL OF HUMAN SVCS\CONDITIONAL DISMISSAL ORDER.wpd |
| | 1 |
| | |