

1 D. GILL SPERLEIN (172887)
 2 THE LAW OFFICE OF D. GILL SPERLEIN
 3 584 Castro Street, Suite 879
 4 San Francisco, California 94114
 5 Telephone: (415) 404-6615
 6 Facsimile: (415) 404-6616

7 gill@sperleinlaw.com

8 Attorney for plaintiff
 9 IO GROUP, INC.

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 11 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 12 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

13	IO GROUP, INC. d/b/a TITAN MEDIA, a)
14	California corporation,)
15	Plaintiff,)
16	vs.)
17	DOES 1-19, individuals,)
18	Defendants.)
19)
20)
21)

CASE NO. 10-3851 (SI)
STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER RESETTING ORAL
ARGUMENT DATE FOR
DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO PROCEED
ANONYMOUSLY
Date: February 25, 2011
Time: 9:00 a.m.
CtRm: 10, 19th Fl.

22 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff Io Group, Inc. and Defendant Doe 4, hereby
 23 stipulate:

24 **WHEREAS** Doe’s attorney scheduled Doe’s Motion to Proceed Anonymously for
 25 February 25, 2011 at 9:00 a.m.;

26
 27
 28

1 **WHEREAS** Plaintiff’s counsel has a prepaid trip and will be in Washington D.C. from
2 February 20 – 27, 2011 to attend the First Amendment Lawyers Association and to be sworn into
3 the United States Supreme Court;
4

5 **WHEREAS** no previous time extensions have been requested or granted in this matter;
6 and

7 **WHEREAS** the rescheduling of this motion hearing should not affect the schedule of this
8 case;

9 The parties do therefore stipulate and agree as follows:

10 The argument currently scheduled for February 25, 2011 shall be rescheduled to March 4,
11 2011 at 9:00 a.m. or another date as the Court can accommodate;

12 Plaintiff’s attorney agrees to keep Doe’s information confidential until the Court has ruled
13 on Defendant Doe 4’s Motion to proceed anonymously.
14

15
16
17 **SO STIPULATED.**
18
19

20 Dated: *January 14, 2011*

21 /s/ D. Gill Sperlein
22 D. Gill Sperlein
23 THE LAW OFFICE OF D. GILL SPERLEIN
24 Attorney’s for Plaintiff

25
26 Dated: *January 14, 2011*

27 /s/ Christina A. DiEdoardo
28 Christina A. DiEdoardo
 The Law Offices of Christina A. DiEdoardo
 Attorney’s for Defendant Doe 4

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I hereby attest pursuant to Northern District of California General Order No. 45 that the concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.

Dated: *January 14, 2011*

/s/ D. Gill Sperlein
D. Gill Sperlein
THE LAW OFFICE OF D. GILL SPERLEIN
Attorney's for Plaintiff

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.



Dated: _____

HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE