

1 **GOLDSTEIN, GELLMAN,**
 2 **MELBOSTAD & HARRIS, LLP**
 3 LEE S. HARRIS- CSBN 076699
 4 *LHarris@g3mh.com*
 5 1388 Sutter Street, Suite 1000
 6 San Francisco, CA 94109-5494
 7 Telephone: (415) 673-6600
 8 Facsimile: (415) 673-5606

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 10 ERIC TERRERI

11 **RIMAC MARTIN, P.C.**
 12 ANNA M. MARTIN - CSBN 154279
 13 MATTHEW A. HICKEY- CSBN 239364
 14 *amartin@rimacmartin.com*
 15 *mhickey@rimacmartin.com*
 16 1051 Divisadero Street
 17 San Francisco, California 94115
 18 Telephone: (415) 561-8440
 19 Facsimile: (415) 561-8430

20 Attorneys for Defendants
 21 FTI CONSULTING, INC. DISABILITY
 22 PLAN, UNUM LIFE INSURANCE
 23 COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.,
 24 UNUM GROUP, INC.

25 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 26 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

27 ***E-FILING***

28 ERIK TERRERI,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

FTI CONSULTING, INC. DISABILITY
 PLAN, UNUM LIFE INSURANCE
 COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC., UNUM
 GROUP, INC. DOES 1-100, inclusive,

Defendants.

) CASE NO. CV-10-3867 EMC

) **STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR**
) **DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO**
) **PLAINTIFF’S COMPLAINT ; ORDER**

) Original Due Date: September 22, 2010
) **Current Due Date: October 4, 2010**

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that defendants FTI CONSULTING, INC. DISABILITY
2 PLAN, UNUM LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC., UNUM GROUP, INC.
3 (collectively "Unum") may have an additional two weeks to answer or otherwise respond to
4 plaintiff's complaint, such that Unum's response is now due on or before October 4, 2010.

5 Good cause exists for this extension because lead counsel for Unum has been out of the
6 country, and requires this time to become familiar with the case.

7 Pursuant to local rules, this document is being electronically filed through the Court's
8 ECF System. In this regard, counsel for defendant hereby attests that (1) the content of this
9 document is acceptable to all persons required to sign the document; (2) plaintiff's counsel has
10 concurred with the filing of this document; and (3) a record supporting this concurrence is
11 available for inspection or production if so ordered.

12 **SO STIPULATED.**

13 **GOLDSTEIN, GELLMAN,
14 MELBOSTAD & HARRIS, LLP**

15
16 DATED: September 21, 2010

17 By: /s/ LEE S. HARRIS
LEE S. HARRIS
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ERIC TERRERI

18
19
20 **RIMAC MARTIN, P.C.**

21
22
23 DATED: September 21, 2010

24 By: /s/ ANNA M. MARTIN
ANNA M. MARTIN
Attorneys for Defendants
FTI CONSULTING, INC. DISABILITY
25 PLAN, UNUM LIFE INSURANCE
26 COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.,
UNUM GROUP, INC.

27 IT IS SO ORDERED:

28 _____
Edward M. Chen
U.S. Magistrate Judge

STIPULATION TO EXTEND
DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND



CASE NO. C10-3867 EMC