1		
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
7		
8)	Case No. 10-03870-SC
9	JOHN SHIRLEY, individually and as) trustee of the JOHN F. SHIRLEY)	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S
10	and JULIE E. SHIRLEY 2003 TRUST,) and JULIE SHIRLEY,)	MOTION FOR ATTORNEY FEES
11) Plaintiffs,)	
12)	
13	v.)	
14	WACHOVIA MORTGAGE FSB, WELLS) FARGO BANK N.A., and DOES 1-10,)	
15) Defendants.	
16)	
17) WACHOVIA MORTGAGE, a division of)	
18	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., formerly) known as WACHOVIA MORTGAGE, FSB,)	
19)	
20	Third-Party Complainant,)	
21	v.)	
22	LSI TITLE COMPANY; LENDER)	
23	PROCESSING SERVICES, INC.;)FIDELITY NATIONAL INFORMATION)	
24	SERVICES, INC.; and ROES 1) through 10, inclusive,)	
25)	
26	Third-Party Defendants.)	
27)	
28		

United States District Court Northern District of California

1 On March 29, 2012, the Court entered Judgment in this matter 2 in favor of Defendant Wachovia Mortgage ("Wachovia") and against John and Julie Shirley (the "Shirleys"). ECF No. 59 ("Judgment"). 3 Having prevailed on summary judgment, Wachovia now seeks to recover 4 5 attorney's fees. ECF No. 63 ("Mot."). The Shirleys oppose the motion for fees. ECF No. 66 ("Opp'n"). On May 1, 2012, the 6 7 Shirleys filed a Notice of Appeal as to the Judgment. ECF No. 71 ("Not. of Appeal"). The outcome of the Shirleys appeal may 8 9 substantially affect Wachovia's eligibility to recover attorney's 10 fees. As such, it would be premature to award Wachovia fees at this time. The Court therefore DENIES Wachovia's Motion WITHOUT 11 PREJUDICE. Wachovia may renew the request for fees following the 12 appeal. 13 14 15 IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 17 Dated: July <u>2</u>, 2012 18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

28