1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	BERNARDO MENDIA,	Case No. <u>10-cv-03910-MEJ</u>
8	Plaintiff,	DISCOVERY ORDER
9	V.	Re: Dkt. Nos. 150, 151
10	JOHN M. GARCIA, et al.,	
10	Defendants.	
12		
12	In light recent requests from the parties seeking to essentially enforce or modify the	
14	undersigned's Discovery Standing Order (Dkt. Nos. 150-51), the Court now <b>ORDERS</b> as follows:	
15	(1) By end of day July 20, 2016, the parties shall meet and confer regarding their discovery	
16	disputes by web-based, video-conferencing;	
17	(2) If the parties do not meet and confer as ordered, they shall file a joint statement by the	
18	next day, July 21, 2016, explaining why the meet and confer has not occurred.	
19	Finally, the parties are admonished that in the future if they are unable to meet and confer	
20	as ordered here and in the Discovery Standing Order, the Court may order both sides to chambers	
21	to take part in an in-person meet and confer.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23		
24	Dated: July 15, 2016	M-
25	-	
26		MARIA-ELENA JAMES United States Magistrate Judge
27		
28		

United States District Court Northern District of California