## For the Northern District of California

28

1		
2		
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5		
6		
7	WILLIAM M. ENDRES,	
8	Plaintiff,	NO. C10-3924 TEH
9	v.	ORDER DENYING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
10	ELENA TOOTELL, et al.,	TRIAL DATE
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	The Court is in receipt of the parties' stipulation to continue the trial and related dates	
14	set at a January 31, 2011 case management conference ("CMC"). At that CMC, the Court	
15	explained to counsel for both Plaintiff and Defendants that it sets realistic and firm trial	
16	dates. Counsel thereafter agreed that it was appropriate to set this case for trial on March 27	
17	2012. While the Court understands that genuine emergencies may arise that require	
18	continuance of a trial date, the parties have given no reason, let alone shown good cause, for	
19	granting a continuance in this case. Accordingly, the stipulation to continue the trial and	
20	related dates is DENIED.	
21		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23	210	the flanewoon
24	Dated: 09/28/11 THELT	ON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE
25	UNITE	D STATES DISTRICT COURT
26		
27		