1 2 3 4	Kent Khtikian, Esq. (#99843) Conor D. Mack, Esq. (#253878) Katzenbach and Khtikian 1714 Stockton Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, California 94133-2930 Telephone: (415) 834-1778 Facsimile: (415) 834-1842
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
6	Geoffrey R. Hudson, Esq.
7	Hausman & Sosa, LLP P.O. Box 2547
8	Napa, CA 94558 (707) 265-0900
9	Attorneys for Defendant ACIC
10	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	
14	BRICKLAYERS AND ALLIED CRAFTWORKERS) Case No. CV 10-3983 JL LOCAL UNION NO. 3, AFL-CIO;)
15	TRUSTEES OF THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA)TILE INDUSTRY PENSION TRUST; TRUSTEES) JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT
16	OF THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA TILE) STATEMENT; INDUSTRY HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST FUND;) [proposed] ORDER RESETTING
17	TRUSTEES OF THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA) CASE MANAGEMENTTILE INDUSTRY APPRENTICESHIP) CONFERENCE
18	AND TRAINING TRUST FUND; TILE INDUSTRY) PROMOTION FUND OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA,)
19	INC., a not-for-profit California corporation;) TILE EMPLOYERS CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION)
20	FUND; TRUSTEES OF THE INTERNATIONAL)UNION OF BRICKLAYERS AND ALLIED)
21	CRAFTWORKERS PENSION FUND,
22	Plaintiffs,
23	VS.)
24 25	PAVONE TILE AND MARBLE CO., INC., a California) corporation; AMERICAN CONTRACTORS INDEMNITY) COMPANY, a California corporation,
26	Defendants.
27	Pursuant to this Court's Civil Local Rule 16-9 and FRCivP 16(b), Plaintiffs and
28	r ursuant to this Court's Civit Local Kule 10-7 and FRCIVI 10(0), I faintins and

Case3:10-cv-03983-JL Document7 Filed12/07/10 Page2 of 10

Defendant American Contractors Indemnity Company hereby submit this Case Management
 Statement And Proposed Order.

Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court continue the Case Management Conference
currently scheduled for December 15, 2010 for 120 days, until April 13, 2011, for the following
reasons.

б Plaintiffs intend to amend the Complaint in this matter to include several more 7 defendants. Plaintiffs are in the process of gathering the information necessary to state their claims against these additional defendants, and expect to be ready to file their First Amended 8 9 Complaint in approximately three weeks. Plaintiffs also wish to amend the Complaint to allege further amounts owed by Defendant Pavone Tile and Marble Co. Inc., ("Pavone"). Plaintiffs do 10 not anticipate adding any new claims against the only defendant that has appeared, American 11 12 Contractors Indemnity Company ("ACIC"). Plaintiffs intend to seek a stipulation from ACIC 13 regarding the filing of Plaintiffs First Amended Complaint, rather than moving the Court for leave to file the First Amended Complaint. 14

A 120 day continuance will allow Plaintiffs to gather the information necessary to
prepare their First Amended Complaint, file the First Amended Complaint, serve all Defendants
with the First Amended Complaint and allow enough time to get the pleadings settled. Should
Pavone or any of the new defendants not answer or otherwise respond to the complaint, the 120
day continuance would give Plaintiffs sufficient time to request the entry of those Defendants'
defaults and properly notice a motion for default judgment.

Both ACIC and Pavone were served with the Complaint by September 23, 2010. (See
Document 6 herein). ACIC has answered the Complaint. (Document 5) Pavone, whose answer
was due on or before October 14, 2010, has not answered or otherwise appeared.

24 A. STATEMENT OF FACTS AND EVENTS UNDERLYING THE ACTION

Plaintiff, INTERNATIONAL UNION OF BRICKLAYERS AND ALLIED
CRAFTSMEN AFL-CIO, LOCAL UNION NO. 3 (hereinafter the "Union") and Defendant
Pavone were parties to a collective bargaining agreement (the "Agreement") at all times material
to this action. Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, Pavone agreed to pay certain wages and

Case3:10-cv-03983-JL Document7 Filed12/07/10 Page3 of 10

fringe benefits for all hours worked in the 46 Northern California counties within the Union's
 geographic jurisdiction by persons employed by Pavone as tile layers and tile setters.

Plaintiffs Complaint alleges that Pavone has breached the Agreement and violated 3 provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), by refusing or 4 5 failing to: (i) to pay fringe benefit contributions for work performed during May, 2010 and from б July 1, 2010 to the present totaling at least \$15,768.61 and interest thereon; (ii) to pay fringe 7 benefits and wages when due; (iii) to pay liquidated damages in the amount of at least \$4,256.50 and interest on late payments of fringe benefits as agreed; and (iv) to submit monthly report 8 9 forms covering July 1, 2010 to present. Plaintiffs will amend their Complaint to allege further amounts due by Pavone. 10

Plaintiffs allege that Pavone obtained a contractor's license bond underwritten by ACIC
pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 7071.6. This bond indemnifies
persons employed by Pavone for Pavone's failure to pay full wage and fringe benefit
contributions due.

- 15 **B. PRINCIPAL ISSUES**
- 1. The principal factual issues that the parties dispute are: 16 17 ACIC disputes liability under the bond... 2. The principal legal issues that the parties dispute are: 18 Whether Pavone was covered by the contractor's license bond at the time of the 19 20 delinguencies; 21 Whether Plaintiffs complied with the notice and limitations provisions of the 22 bond. 3. The following issues as to service of process, personal jurisdiction, subject matter 23 24 jurisdiction or venue remain unresolved: None. 25 4. The following parties have not yet been served: 26 None. 27 28 5. Any additional parties that a party intends to join are listed below:

Case3:10-cv-03983-JL Document7 Filed12/07/10 Page4 of 10

1	Plaintiffs intend to amend the Complaint to add several additional parties
2	6. Any additional claims that a party intends to add are listed below:
3	Plaintiffs will add additional claims to the added parties in the First Amended
4	Complaint
5	C. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION
6	The parties make the following additional suggestions concerning settlement:
7	Plaintiffs request a settlement conference before a United States Magistrate
8	Judge.
9	The Court hereby orders:
10	
11	
12	
13	D. CONSENT TO JURISDICTION BY A MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14	Plaintiffs consent to proceed before a magistrate judge and filed their consent to proceed
15	before a magistrate judge on September 8, 2010 as document 4 herein.
16	The Court hereby refers this case for the following purposes to a magistrate judge:
17	
18	
19	
20	E. DISCLOSURES
21	The parties certify that they have made the following disclosures:
22	The parties have not made any initial disclosures. Plaintiffs have provided ACIC with
23	documents supporting their claim that Pavone has failed to make fringe benefit contributions as
24	required. The parties shall serve their initial disclosures after the pleadings are settled.
25	1. Persons disclosed pursuant to FRCivP Rule 26(a)(1):
26	a. Disclosed by plaintiffs:
27	None.
28	b. Disclosed by Defendants:

1	None.
2	2. Categories of documents disclosed under FRCivP Rule 26(a)(1) or produced
3	through formal discovery:
4	a. Categories of documents disclosed by Plaintiffs:
5	None.
6	b. Categories of documents disclosed by Defendants:
7	None.
8	3. Each party who claims an entitlement to damages or an offset sets forth
9	the following preliminary computation of the damages or of the offset:
10	On the basis of reports submitted to Plaintiffs by Pavone,, Plaintiffs believe that the
11	amount owed to Plaintiffs is at least \$207,712.01, calculated as follows:
12	Principal Owed: \$175,913.51
13	Liquidated Damages 35,182.70 Attorney's Fees (to 11/23) <u>4,516.50</u> + SUBTOTAL \$215,612.71
14	SOBTOTAL \$213,012.71 Credit for Payment received 11/8/10 (7,900.70) TOTAL \$207,712.01
15	This calculation excludes accruing interest, additional attorney's fees and all costs, all of
16	which Plaintiffs will seek as additional damages.
17	4. All insurance policies as defined by FRCivP 26(a)(1)(D) have been disclosed as
18	follows:
19	None disclosed.
20	5. The parties will disclose the following additional information by the date listed:
21	Plaintiffs will serve their initial disclosures after filing and serving their First
22	Amended Complaint and the additional Defendants answer or otherwise appear.
23	6. Disclosures as required by FRCivP 26(e) will be supplemented at the following
24	intervals:
25	Every 30 days commencing May 1, 2011 if supplemental information is
26	discovered.
27	F. EARLY FILING OF MOTIONS
28	

Case3:10-cv-03983-JL Document7 Filed12/07/10 Page6 of 10

1	The following motions expected to have a significant effect either on the scope of
2	discovery or other aspects of the litigation shall be heard by the date specified below:
3	Should Pavone or the additional Defendants not answer or otherwise appear,
4	Plaintiffs will request that the Clerk enter those Defendants' defaults and then file a
5	motion for default judgment.
б	
7	G. DISCOVERY
8	1. The parties have conducted or have underway the following discovery:
9	None.
10	2. The parties propose the following discovery plan:
11	The deadline for completion of all discovery, expert and non-expert be August 12,
12	2011 and that the dates for filing of dispositive motions will be October 7, 2011 and trial
13	be 90 days thereafter.
14	The parties are to disclose expert witnesses, if any (their identities, resumes, final
15	reports and other matters required pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure) by
16	no later than June 10, 2011.
17	3. Limitations on discovery tools.
18	a. depositions (excluding experts) by:
19	plaintiffs: no limit defendant: no limit
20	b. interrogatories served by:
21	plaintiffs: 25
22	defendants: 25
23	c. document production requests served by:
24	plaintiffs: no limit defendants: no limit
25	d. requests for admission served by:
26	plaintiffs: no limit defendants: no limit
27	4. The parties propose the following limitations on the subject matter of discovery:
28	All matters relevant to or calculated to lead to the discovery of evidence relevant

Case3:10-cv-03983-JL Document7 Filed12/07/10 Page7 of 10

1	to the issues raised by the complaint and defendants' answers to the complaint.
2	5. Discovery from experts.
3	None planned at this time.
4	6. The Court orders the following additional limitations on the subject matter of
5	discovery:
6	
7	
8	
9	7. Deadlines for disclosure of witnesses and completion of discovery:
10	See paragraph $G(2)$ above.
11	
12	H. PRETRIAL AND TRIAL SCHEDULE
13	1. Trial date: None set.
14	
15	2. Anticipated length of trial (number of days): 1 to 2 days.
16	
17	3. Type of trial: Court.
18	
19	4. Final pretrial conference date:
20	
21	5. Date required for filing the joint pretrial conference statement and proposed
22	pretrial order required by Civ.L.R. 16-10(b), complying with the provisions of Civ.L.R. 16-
23	10(b)(6)-(10) and such other materials as may be required by the assigned judge:
24	
25	6. Date for filing objections under Civ.L.R. 16-10(b)(11) (objections to exhibits or
26	testimony):
27	
28	7. Deadline to hear motions directed to the merits of all or part of the case: See

Case3:10-cv-03983-JL Document7 Filed12/07/10 Page8 of 10

1 paragraph G2 above.

NOTE: Lead trial counsel who will try this case shall meet and confer at least 30
days prior to the pretrial conference for the purpose of Civ.L.R. 16-10(b) which includes
preparation of that joint pretrial conference statement and all other materials required by
§ H.5 above. Lead trial counsel shall also be present at the pretrial conference. (See
FRCivP 16(d).)

I. Date of next case management conference:

JOINT J. OTHER MATTERS

11

7

8

9

12 K. IDENTIFICATION AND SIGNATURE OF LEAD TRIAL COUNSEL

14 /s/ Kent Khtikian Kent Khtikian, Esq.
15 Katzenbach and Khtikian 1714 Stockton Street, Suite 300
16 San Francisco, California 94133-2930 (415) 834-1778; FAX (415) 834-1842
17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

18

19

20

21

22

<u>/s/ Geoffrey Hudson</u> Geoffrey R. Hudson, Esq. Hausman & Sosa, LLP P.O. Box 2547 Napa, CA 94558 (707) 265-0900

Attorney for Defendant ACIC

23

24

Attestation Of Concurrence

 I, Kent Khtikian, declare that Geoffrey R. Hudson has signed the Document set forth above and that I have in my possession his signature on this document. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 7th day of December 2010, in San Francisco, California.
 <u>/s/ Kent Khtikian</u> W Kent Khtikian

28

Case3:10-cv-03983-JL Document7 Filed12/07/10 Page9 of 10

1	Good cause appearing, the Court hereby orders that the Case Management Conference
2	scheduled for December 15, 2010 is rescheduled for April $\frac{20}{13, 2011}$ at 10:30 a.m. A joint case
3	management conference statement shall be filed no later than April $\overline{0}$, 2011.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED
5	
6	
7	
8	December 8, 2010
9	Dated:Hon.James Larson
10	United States Magistrate Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL 1 2 I am a resident of the County of San Francisco, California. I am over the age of eighteen 3 years and not a party to this action. My business address is Katzenbach and Khtikian, 1714 4 Stockton Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California 94133. I served the within: 5 б 1. JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT; [proposed] ORDER RESETTING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE 7 on the parties remaining in the action herein, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed 8 9 envelope with first class postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States Mail at San Francisco, California, on December 7, 2010 addressed as follows: 10 11 Doug Pavone Pavone Tile and Marble Co., Inc. 12 1124 Old Bayshore Hwy., #10 San Jose, CA 95112 13 14 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this 15 declaration was executed on December 7, 2010. 16 17 /s/ Cheri Yau Cheri Yau 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28