1	Kent Khtikian, Esq. (#99843) Conor D. Mack, Esq. (#253878)	
2	Katzenbach and Khtikian 1714 Stockton Street, Suite 300	
3	San Francisco, California 94133-2930 Telephone: (415) 834-1778	
4	Facsimile: (415) 834-1842	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DIS	TRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
11	TRUSTEES OF THE BRICKLAYERS LOCAL) CASE NO. CV 10-4056 EMC
12	NO. 3 PENSION TRUST; TRUSTEES OF THE LOCAL NO. 7 PENSION TRUST; TRUSTEES)))
13	OF THE BRICKLAYERS LOCAL NO. 3 HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST; TRUSTEES) PLAINTIFFS' CASE MANAGEMENT) STATEMENT;
14	OF THE BRICKLAYERS AND ALLIED CRAFTS LOCAL NO. 3 APPRENTICE) [proposed] ORDER RESETTING CASE) MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
15	TRAINING TRUST; INTERNATIONAL UNION OF BRICKLAYERS AND ALLIED))
16	CRAFTSMEN, AFL-CIO, LOCAL UNION NO. 3, on behalf of itself and as agent for its members;))
17	TRUSTEES OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF BRICKLAYERS AND ALLIED))
18	CRAFTSMEN PENSION FUND,)
	Plaintiffs,	Ó
19	VS.))
20	TOP GUN ARCHITECTURAL FINISHES))
21	INCORPORATED, a California corporation; AMERICAN CONTRACTORS INDEMNITY COMPANY a California corporation;) Date: February 9, 2010) Time: 1:30 p.m.
22	COMPANY, a California corporation;) Courtroom: C, 15 th Floor
23	Defendants.) (San Francisco)
24))
25		
26	Pursuant to this Court's Civil Local Rule 16-9	9 and FRCivP 16(b), Plaintiffs hereby
27	submit this Case Management Statement And Propo	sed Order.
28	Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court of	continue the Case Management Conference

Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court continue the Case Management Conference

currently scheduled for February 9, 2011for 60 days, until April 13, 2011, for the following reasons.

Plaintiffs and Defendants Top Gun Architectural Finishes ("Top Gun") and American Contractors Indemnity Company ("ACIC") are negotiating a settlement with the hopes of entering into a stipulated judgment. Should the parties fail to enter into a stipulated judgment, a 60 day continuance will allow Plaintiffs to sufficient time to request the entry of Defendants' default and properly notice a motion for default judgment.

A. STATEMENT OF FACTS AND EVENTS UNDERLYING THE ACTION

Plaintiff, INTERNATIONAL UNION OF BRICKLAYERS AND ALLIED CRAFTSMEN AFL-CIO, LOCAL UNION NO. 3 (hereinafter "Bricklayers Local Union No. 3") and Defendant Top Gun were parties to a collective bargaining agreement (the "Agreement") at all times material to this action. Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, Top Gun agreed to pay certain wages and fringe benefits for all hours worked in the 45 Northern California counties within the Union's geographic jurisdiction by persons employed by Top Gun as pointers, cleaners, caulkers and waterproofers.

Plaintiffs allege that Top Gun has breached the Agreement and violated provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), by refusing or failing to: (i) to pay fringe benefit contributions for work performed during May and June 2010 totaling at least \$10,551.59 and interest thereon; (ii) to pay fringe benefits and wages when due; (iii) to pay liquidated damages in the amount of at least \$3,456.67 and interest on late payments of fringe benefits as agreed; and (iv) to submit monthly report forms covering July 1, 2010 to present.

Plaintiffs allege that Top Gun obtained a contractor's license bond underwritten by ACIC pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 7071.6. This bond indemnifies persons employed by Top Gun for Top Gun's failure to pay full wage and fringe benefit contributions due.

2.

2.0

2.2

B. PRINCIPAL ISSUES

1. The principal factual issues that the parties dispute are:

1	As neither Top Gun nor ACIC have answered or otherwise appeared, there are no
2	factual issues in dispute.
3	2. The principal legal issues that the parties dispute are:
4	As neither Top Gun nor ACIC have answered or otherwise appeared, there are no
5	legal issues in dispute.
6	3. The following issues as to service of process, personal jurisdiction, subject matter
7	jurisdiction or venue remain unresolved:
8	None.
9	4. The following parties have not yet been served:
10	None.
11	5. Any additional parties that a party intends to join are listed below:
12	None at this time.
13	6. Any additional claims that a party intends to add are listed below:
14	None.
15	C. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION
16	The parties make the following additional suggestions concerning settlement:
17	Plaintiffs request a settlement conference before a United States Magistrate Judge.
18	The Court hereby orders:
19	
20	
21	
22	D. CONSENT TO JURISDICTION BY A MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23	Plaintiffs consent to proceed before a magistrate judge and filed their consent to proceed
24	before a magistrate judge on September 13, 2010 as document 4 herein.
25	The Court hereby refers this case for the following purposes to a magistrate judge:
26	
27	
28	

1	E. DISCLOSURES
2	The parties certify that they have made the following disclosures:
3	As neither Top Gun nor ACIC have has not yet answered or otherwise appeared,
4	Plaintiffs have not yet served their initial disclosures.
5	1. Persons disclosed pursuant to FRCivP Rule 26(a)(1):
6	a. Disclosed by plaintiffs:
7	None.
8	b. Disclosed by Defendants:
9	None.
10	2. Categories of documents disclosed under FRCivP Rule 26(a)(1) or produced
11	through formal discovery:
12	a. Categories of documents disclosed by Plaintiffs:
13	None.
14	b. Categories of documents disclosed by Defendants:
15	None.
16	3. Each party who claims an entitlement to damages or an offset sets forth
17	the following preliminary computation of the damages or of the offset:
18	On the basis of reports submitted to Plaintiffs by Top Gun Plaintiffs believe that the
19	principal amount owed to Plaintiffs is at least \$17,015.76, calculated as follows:
20	Principal Owed: \$10,551.59
21	Liquidated Damages 3,456.67 Attorney's Fees (to 1/3/11) 3,007.50+
22	TOTAL \$17,015.76
23	This calculation excludes principal owed from July 1, 2010 through the present and
24	liquidated damages thereon, accruing interest, additional attorney's fees and all costs, all of
25	which Plaintiffs will seek as additional damages.
26	4. All insurance policies as defined by FRCivP 26(a)(1)(D) have been disclosed as
27	follows:
28	None disclosed.

1	5. The parties will disclose the following additional information by the date listed:
2	Plaintiffs will serve their initial disclosures after Defendants answer or otherwise
3	appear.
4	6. Disclosures as required by FRCivP 26(e) will be supplemented at the following
5	intervals:
6	Every 30 days commencing June 1, 2011 if supplemental information is
7	discovered.
8	
9	F. EARLY FILING OF MOTIONS
10	The following motions expected to have a significant effect either on the scope of
11	discovery or other aspects of the litigation shall be heard by the date specified below:
12	Should a stipulated judgment not be entered into within 30 days, Plaintiffs will
13	request that the Clerk enter Defendants' default and then file a motion for default
14	judgment.
15	
16	G. DISCOVERY
17	1. The parties have conducted or have underway the following discovery:
18	None.
19	2. The parties propose the following discovery plan:
20	The deadline for completion of all discovery, expert and non-expert be August 19,
21	2011 and that the dates for filing of dispositive motions will be October 14, 2011 and
22	trial be 90 days thereafter.
23	The parties are to disclose expert witnesses, if any (their identities, resumes, final
24	reports and other matters required pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure) by
25	no later than June 17, 2011.
26	3. Limitations on discovery tools.
27	a. depositions (excluding experts) by:
28	plaintiffs: no limit defendant: no limit

1	b. interrogatories served by:
2	plaintiffs: 25
3	defendants: 25
4	c. document production requests served by:
5	plaintiffs: no limit defendants: no limit
6	d. requests for admission served by:
7	plaintiffs: no limit defendants: no limit
8	4. The parties propose the following limitations on the subject matter of discovery:
9	All matters relevant to or calculated to lead to the discovery of evidence relevant
10	to the issues raised by the complaint and defendants' answers to the complaint.
11	5. Discovery from experts.
12	None planned at this time.
13	6. The Court orders the following additional limitations on the subject matter of
14	discovery:
15	
16	
17	7. Deadlines for disclosure of witnesses and completion of discovery:
18	See paragraph G(2) above.
19	H. PRETRIAL AND TRIAL SCHEDULE
20	1. Trial date: None set.
21	2. Anticipated length of trial (number of days): 1 to 2 days.
22	3. Type of trial: Court.
23	
24	4. Final pretrial conference date:
25	
26	5. Date required for filing the joint pretrial conference statement and proposed
27	pretrial order required by Civ.L.R. 16-10(b), complying with the provisions of Civ.L.R. 16-
28	10(b)(6)-(10) and such other materials as may be required by the assigned judge:

1	6. Date for filing objections under Civ.L.R. 16-10(b)(11) (objections to exhibits or
2	testimony):
3	
4	7. Deadline to hear motions directed to the merits of all or part of the case: See
5	paragraph G2 above.
6	NOTE: Lead trial counsel who will try this case shall meet and confer at least 30
7	days prior to the pretrial conference for the purpose of Civ.L.R. 16-10(b) which includes
8	preparation of that joint pretrial conference statement and all other materials required by
9	§ H.5 above. Lead trial counsel shall also be present at the pretrial conference. (See
10	FRCivP 16(d).)
11	
12	I. Date of next case management conference:
13	
14	J. OTHER MATTERS
15	
16	K. IDENTIFICATION AND SIGNATURE OF LEAD TRIAL COUNSEL
17	
18	/s/ Kent Khtikian Kent Khtikian, Esq.
19	Katzenbach and Khtikian 1714 Stockton Street, Suite 300
20	San Francisco, California 94133-2930 (415) 834-1778; FAX (415) 834-1842
21	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

[proposed] ORDER

Good cause appearing, the Court hereby orders that the Case Management Conference scheduled for February 9, 2011 is rescheduled for April 13, 2011 at 1:30 p.m. A joint case management conference statement shall be filed no later than April 6, 2011.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated:	2/3/11	IT IS SO ORDERED
		Hon. Enward
		Judge Edward M. Chen
		DISTRICT OF

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT; [proposed] ORDER Case No. 10-4056 EMC

1	PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL
2	I am a resident of the County of San Francisco, California. I am over the age of eighteen
3	years and not a party to this action. My business address is Katzenbach and Khtikian, 1714
4	Stockton Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California 94133. I served the within:
5	1 DI ADUTEECO CACE MANIACEMENT CTATEMENT I 11 ODDED
6	1. PLAINTIFFS' CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT; [proposed] ORDER RESETTING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
7	on the parties remaining in the action herein, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed
8	envelope with first class postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States Mail at San
9	Francisco, California, on February 2, 2011 addressed as follows:
10	Crossow, D. McDareld, Ess
11	Gregory D. McDonald, Esq. Old Bank of America Building
12	12 S. First St., Ste. 417 San Jose, CA 95113
13	Attorney for Defendants: American Contractors Indomnity Company and Ton Cun Architectural Finishes Incorporated
14	American Contractors Indemnity Company and Top Gun Architectural Finishes Incorporated
15	I dealars under monetay of marityry that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this
16	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this
17	declaration was executed on February 2, 2011.
18	/s/ Cheri Yau
19	Cheri Yau
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	