

1 DAVID J. BEAUVAIS (CA Bar # 84275)  
 2 409 13<sup>th</sup> Street, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 3 Oakland, California 94612  
 Telephone: (510) 832-3605  
 Facsimile: (510) 832-3610

4 Attorney for Plaintiffs  
 5 AARON FRAYER and  
 A.F.

6  
 7  
 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 10

11 AARON FRAYER, individually and as  
 12 Next Friend of A.F., a minor,

) No. CV 10-04095 CRB  
 )  
 )

13  
 14 Plaintiffs,

) **ORDER TO RESET DATES FOR**  
 ) **FILING OPPOSITION AND FOR**  
 ) **HEARING ON MOTIONS FOR**  
 ) **JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS**  
 )

15 vs.

16  
 17 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA; KATHERINE  
 18 MORE, individually and in her  
 19 official capacity as social worker for Alameda  
 20 County Department of Social Services;  
 21 THOMAS NOLAN, individually and in his  
 22 official capacity as social worker for Alameda  
 23 County Department of Social Services; CITY  
 24 OF OAKLAND; JAMES MOORE, individually  
 and in his official capacity as a police officer  
 for the City of Oakland; D. MING, individually  
 and in his official capacity as a sergeant for the  
 City of Oakland; and DOES 1 through 20,

Defendants.  
 \_\_\_\_\_)

25 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED** among counsel for all parties that the date for filing  
 26 opposition to the motions for judgment on the pleadings be reset to August 10, 2012, that replies,  
 27 if any, be reset to August 24, 2012 and that the hearing on the motions be continued to September  
 28 21, 2012.

1 This stipulation is made with reference to the following facts:

2 1. Plaintiffs' opposition to the motions is due on July 13, 2012 and the hearing is set for  
3 August 3, 2012. The hearing date was set at the case management conference on June 8, 2012.

4 2. Plaintiffs' counsel in this case is lead counsel for the plaintiffs in an action entitled  
5 *Golin v. Allenby* pending in the San Mateo County Superior Court as docket No. CIV507159.  
6 The *Golin* matter was first filed many years ago in federal court but later remanded to state court.  
7 It has been designated a complex action. The clients are over the age of seventy and despite the  
8 amount of time that has gone by, the case is still at the pleading stage after three years on appeal.  
9 On June 25, 2012, the defendants collectively filed 13 motions that together with exhibits stand  
10 more than a foot high. The oppositions to these motions are due on July 31, 2012.  
11 Due to the age of the case and the clients and the considerable delay caused by the appeal in that  
12 case and two changes of venue, counsel is reluctant to change the briefing schedule in the *Golin*  
13 action.

14 3. Counsel for the City is unavailable on August 24. Counsel for the County is  
15 unavailable on August 31 and September 7. Counsel for plaintiffs is also unavailable on August  
16 31.

17 DATED: July 12, 2012

18 /s/ David J. Beauvais  
19 DAVID J. BEAUVAIS  
20 Attorney for Plaintiffs

21 DATED: July 12, 2012

22 /s/  
23 REBECCA S. WIDEN  
24 Attorney for Defendants  
25 County of Alameda,  
26 Katherine Moore and  
27 Thomas Nolan

28 DATED: July 12, 2012

/s/  
CAROL O. TSAI  
Attorney for Defendants  
City of Oakland, James  
Moore and D. Ming

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ORDER**

The court having considered the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing therefor:

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that plaintiffs’ opposition to the motions for judgment on the pleadings is due on August 10, 2012 and that replies, if any, are due on August 24, 2012. It is further ordered that the hearing on the motions is continued to September 28, 2012 at 10:00 A.M.

DATED: July 16, 2012

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE