

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CARINA DACER, et al.,

No. C 10-04165 WHA

Plaintiffs,

v.

**ORDER SETTING CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
AND VACATING TRIAL DATE**

JOSEPH ESTRADA, et al.,

Defendants.

A September 2011 case management order scheduled a final pretrial conference for January 14, 2013, and jury trial in this matter for January 28, 2013 (Dkt. No. 31). Plaintiffs (and defendants) failed to file a joint proposed pretrial order (due on January 7), and did not appear for the final pretrial conference. This is not the first time plaintiffs have failed to appear for a hearing with the Court (*see* Dkt. Nos. 45, 47).

Plaintiffs now request to continue the January 28 jury trial for the following reasons: (1) because the trial and pretrial dates were not listed on the Court's online calendar, they had "an expectation that the trial date had been continued"; (2) plaintiffs have scheduled a deposition in this matter for February 28 (one month after the scheduled trial date and four months after the close of non-expert discovery); and, (3) plaintiffs' counsel made plans to travel back from a wedding in Philadelphia on the day scheduled for trial (Dkt. No. 62 at 1-3).


Although the Court's online schedule has not been updated to reflect the January 14

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

and January 28 pretrial conference and trial dates, that apparent contradiction should have been raised by counsel to clarify the schedule long ago. The January 28 jury trial is **VACATED**. The parties shall appear for a case management conference on **JANUARY 31 AT 11:00 A.M.** The Court will consider arguments for a continuance or other modifications of the prior case management order at that time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 24, 2013.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE