Hogan v. Safeway et al

Doc 48

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the Parties to this action, through their designated
2	Counsel, that the above-captioned action be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice pursuant to
3	FRCP 41 (a)(1), each Party to bear its own fees and costs.
4	Dated: February 9, 2012 WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD A Professional Corporation
5	
6	By: $\frac{/s/ \text{ Caren P. Sencer}}{\text{CAREN P. SENCER}}$
7	Attorneys for Defendant
8	UNITED FOOD AND COMMERCIAL WORKERS UNION LOCAL 5
10	Dated: February 9, 2012 LAW OFFICE OF FRANK E. MAYO
11	/s/ Frank E. Mayo
12	By: FRANK E. MAYO
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff LESTER HOGAN
14	ELGILKITOGAT
15	Dated: February 9, 2012 SAFEWAY, INC.
16	/s/ Stephen Q. Rowell
17	By: STEPHEN Q. ROWELL
18	Attorneys for Defendant SAFEWAY, INC.
19	SALLWAY, INC.
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.
21	Dated:2/14/12
22	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE HON. SUSAN ILLSTON
23	125869/655482
24	
25	
26	
27	
WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD A Professional Corporation 1001 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 200 Alameda, California 94501 (510) 337-1001	2 STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO FRCP 41(A)(1) AND ORDER CASE NO. CV-10-04262 SI