

1 Muriel B. Kaplan, Esq. (SBN 124607)
 Blake E. Williams, Esq. (SBN 233158)
 2 SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION
 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2110
 3 San Francisco, CA 94104
 (415) 882-7900
 4 (415) 882-9287 – Facsimile
mkaplan@sjlawcorp.com
 5 bwilliams@sjlawcorp.com

6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

7 Matthew W. Quall, Esq. (SBN 183759)
 Lang, Richert & Patch
 8 Post Office Box 40012
 Fresno, CA 93755
 9 (559) 228-6700
 (559) 228-6727 – Facsimile

10 Attorneys for Defendant

11

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

13 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 F.G. CROSTHWAITE and RUSSELL E.
 BURNS, in their respective capacities as
 15 Trustees, et al.,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 JOHN CLARK BRISBIN, individually and *dba*
 CONSTRUCTION DEVELOPMENT
 SYSTEMS,
 19 Defendant.

Case No.: C10-4266 WHA

**JOINT REQUEST TO CONTINUE CASE
 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE;
 [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON**

Date: April 14, 2011
 Time: 3:00 p.m.
 Location: 450 Golden Gate Avenue
 San Francisco, CA
 Courtroom: 9, 19th Floor
 Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup

20
 21 Plaintiffs and Defendant in the above-titled action jointly submit this Request to Continue
 22 the Case Management Conference, based on the following:

23 1. This is an action by Plaintiff Trust Funds to compel Defendant’s compliance with
 24 an audit required by a Collective Bargaining Agreement to which he is signatory, and by the Trust
 25 Agreements incorporated therein.

26 2. Counsel for both parties previously met and conferred, and the requested audit has
 27 now been conducted. Thereafter, on March 15, 2011, a report detailing the amounts found due in
 28 the audit was mailed to Defendant, allowing 30 days for Defendant to make payment, or contest

1 the findings.

2 3. Defendant's counsel, Matthew W. Quall, has advised Plaintiffs that a partial
3 payment toward the amounts found due has already been submitted by Defendant, and that an
4 additional partial payment will be submitted by April 15, 2011. Mr. Quall further advised that
5 Defendant is in the process of reviewing potential small discrepancies with regard to the remaining
6 amounts found due, which he intends to resolve by April 15, 2011.

7 4. Plaintiffs' billing allowed Defendant until April 15, 2011 for response and
8 payment. Therefore, in order to allow time for Defendant to submit additional payment to
9 Plaintiffs, and to complete his review and response to the report concerning the audit of his payroll
10 records, both parties respectfully request that the Case Management Conference, currently
11 scheduled for April 15, 2011, be continued for 30 days to allow resolution of the audit payment. If
12 resolved, Plaintiffs will dismiss this action. If resolution is not reached within 30 days from the
13 April 15, 2011 due date, after that time, a Case Management Conference will address scheduling
14 of Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment.

15 5. There are no issues that need to be addressed by the parties at the currently
16 scheduled Case Management Conference. In the interest of conserving costs as well as the Court's
17 time and resources, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court continue the currently scheduled
18 Case Management Conference.

19 Dated: April 7, 2011

SALTZMAN & JOHNSON
LAW CORPORATION

20

21

By: _____ /S/
Muriel B. Kaplan
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

22

23

Dated: April 7, 2011

LANG, RICHERT & PATCH

24

25

By: _____ /S/
Matthew W. Quall
Attorneys for Defendants

26

27


IT IS SO ORDERED.

28

The currently set Case Management Conference is hereby continued to May 12, 2010.

1 3:00 pm, and all previously set deadlines and dates related to this case are vacated, to be reset at
2 that Conference.

3 Date: April 8, 2011.



United States District Court Judge

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28