

\*E-Filed 2/7/11\*

1 SPENCER HOSIE (CA Bar No. 101777)  
 shosie@hosielaw.com  
 2 BRUCE WECKER (CA Bar No. 078530)  
 bwecker@hosielaw.com  
 3 GEORGE F. BISHOP (CA Bar No. 89205)  
 gbishop@hosielaw.com  
 4 DIANE S. RICE (CA Bar No. 118303)  
 drice@hosielaw.com  
 5 HOSIE RICE LLP  
 6 Transamerica Pyramid, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 600 Montgomery Street  
 7 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 (415) 247-6000 Tel.  
 8 (415) 247-6001 Fax

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
 10 *XSIDES CORPORATION*

11  
 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 13 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 XSIDES CORPORATION,  
 16 Plaintiff,  
 17 v.  
 18 CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC.,  
 19 Defendant.

Case No. C 10-4307 RS

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND BY ONE WEEK THE DATES FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING**

21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

STIPULATION TO EXTEND DATE FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING

CASE No. C 10-4307 RS

1 By this stipulation, plaintiff xSides Corporation (“Plaintiff”) and defendant Citrix  
2 Systems, Inc. (“Defendant”), stipulate to postpone by one week the due dates for Plaintiff’s  
3 infringement contentions and for subsequent Patent Local Rule dates, but not change the  
4 dates for the Markman hearing or tutorial, as set forth in more detail below:

5 WHEREAS, the Initial Case Management Conference in this matter was held on  
6 January 20, 2011, and the Case Management Scheduling Order was also entered by the Court  
7 on that date;

8 WHEREAS, no trial date has yet been set in this action;

9 WHEREAS, the parties are engaged in discussions aimed at a possible resolution of  
10 this matter, and represent that the extension of time requested herein would be beneficial in  
11 furthering these discussions; and

12 WHEREAS the parties have agreed to extensions of approximately one week, of the  
13 due date for Plaintiff’s infringement contentions, and corresponding one week extensions of  
14 the following dates, set forth under Section 3, “Claim Construction Scheduling,” of the  
15 Court’s January 20, 2011 Case Management Scheduling Order (except that the dates for the  
16 tutorial and Markman hearing are not changed, because it is not necessary to do so in order to  
17 change the other patent dates);

18 WHEREFORE IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto  
19 through their respective attorneys of record to the due dates set forth on Exhibit A hereto, and  
20 that the Case Management Scheduling Order in this action be amended so as to replace the  
21 dates set forth in Section 3 Claim Construction Scheduling with the dates set forth in Exhibit  
22 A hereto.

23  
24 DATED: February 2, 2011

25 HOSIE RICE LLP

26 By: /s/ George F. Bishop  
27 George F. Bishop  
28 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*



1           **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS ORDERED THAT** the January 20, 2011  
2 Case Management Scheduling Order in this action is amended so as to replace the dates set  
3 forth in Section 3 “Claim Construction Scheduling” with the dates set forth in Exhibit A to  
4 the parties’ stipulation.

5  
6 Dated: February 4, 2011



7 Honorable Richard Seeborg  
8 U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28