

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
8

9 OEM-TECH, d/b/a CHARLES ESTES,

No. C 10-04368 RS

10 Plaintiff,

ORDER RE: LEAVE TO AMEND

11 v.

12 VIDEO GAMING TECHNOLOGIES,
13 INC., and DOES 1-50,

14 Defendants.
15 _____/

16 Plaintiff was granted leave to amend in this Court's prior order granting defendant's motion
17 for partial summary judgment and motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 169), and subsequently filed
18 amended pleadings, including one longer complaint (Dkt. No. 171), apparently in error. Plaintiff's
19 most recent filing, styled as a proposed order (Dkt. No. 172), indicates that the intended operative
20 complaint is the "6th Amended Complaint Short Form" (Dkt. No. 170). Upon review, that
21 document appears to comport with the scope of leave to amend granted plaintiff in the prior order,
22 and it is therefore deemed the operative pleading.
23

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25
26 Dated: 8/31/12



27 RICHARD SEEBORG
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

No. C 10-04368 RS
ORDER