1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	CHARLES R. ESTES d.b.a. OEM-Tech, No. 10-4368 RS
11	Plaintiff, ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S DECEMBER 23, 2011 LETTER (Dkt.
12	V. No. 89.)
13	VIDEO GAMING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
14 15	Defendant.
16	
17	The Court is in receipt of Plaintiff's discovery letter dated December 23, 2011. The
18	letter does not conform to the Court's standing order which requires the parties to submit a
19	joint letter regarding any discovery disputes. Nonetheless, as Plaintiff is proceeding in pro
20	se, the Court will consider the letter once Defendant has had an opportunity to respond.
21	Accordingly, Defendant shall file a response, in letter form, on or before January 10, 2012.
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	Jacquelin S. Coly
24	Dated: January 3, 2012 JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	
27	
28	