20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EOD THE MODELEDN DIG	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	INNOSPAN CORP.,	No. C 10-04422 WHA
11	Plaintiff,	
12	V.	ORDER STRIKING
13	INTUIT, INC., MINT SOFTWARE, INC.,	MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTERCLAIMS
14	INTUIT, INC., MINT SOFTWARE, INC., SHASTA VENTURES GP, LLC, AARON PATZER, JASON PUTORI, TOD	
15	FRANCIS, and DOES 1–20,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	The February 10 order regarding motion practice stated that, except for discove	
10	disputes, "no motions may be filed in this action without prior written approval" (Dkt.	

The February 10 order regarding motion practice stated that, except for discovery disputes, "no motions may be filed in this action without prior written approval" (Dkt. No. 105). On April 15, plaintiff filed a motion to dismiss defendants' counterclaims and a supporting declaration (Dkt. Nos. 139, 140). Plaintiff neither sought nor received prior written approval for these filings. As such, they are improper. **THE CLERK SHALL STRIKE** Dkt. Nos. 139 and 140.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 18, 2011.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE