Doc. 31

The Charles Schwab Corporation v. J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. et al.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WHEREAS, on September 2, 2010, Plaintiff filed an action in the Superior Court of California, San Francisco against Defendants, captioned <i>The Charles Schwab Corporation</i> v. <i>J.P. Morgan Securities Inc.</i> , <i>et al.</i> , Case No CGC-10-503207 (the "State Action"); WHEREAS, on October 6, 2010, Defendants removed the State Action from the Superior Court of California, San Francisco to the Northern District of California;			
3 4 5 6 7	Morgan Securities Inc., et al., Case No CGC-10-503207 (the "State Action"); WHEREAS, on October 6, 2010, Defendants removed the State Action from the Superior			
4 5 6 7	WHEREAS, on October 6, 2010, Defendants removed the State Action from the Superior			
5 6 7	•			
6 7	Court of California. San Francisco to the Northern District of California:			
7				
	WHEREAS, on October 12, 2010, Plaintiff and Defendants stipulated to extend the date			
	by which Defendants must answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint to January 7, 2011;			
8	WHEREAS, on October 28, 2010, Plaintiff filed its Motion to Remand;			
9	WHEREAS, on December 2, 2010, the Court entered the Parties' Stipulation and			
10	Proposed Order In Support of Administrative Motion Concerning Hearing and Briefing Schedule for			
11	Motion to Remand, which, in part, set the hearing date for Plaintiff's Motion to Remand for March 25,			
12	2011;			
13	WHEREAS, a Case Management Conference is scheduled for April 15, 2011;			
14				
15	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND AMONG THE			
16	PARTIES HERETO AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD THAT, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL			
17	OF THE COURT:			
18	(1) Defendants shall answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint within			
19	twenty-one (21) days from the date that the Court enters its order granting or			
20	denying Plaintiff's Motion to Remand;			
21	(2) By entering into this Stipulation, Defendants do not waive their right to seek			
22	adjournment or additional time from the Court in which to answer, move, or			
23	otherwise respond to the Complaint;			
24	(3) By entering into this Stipulation, the Parties do not waive, and expressly preserve,			
25	any and all rights, claims, defenses, including all defenses relating to jurisdiction,			
26	venue, and arbitrability.			
27				
28				

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

1

1	Respectfully submitted,	
2	1	
3	Dated: December 17, 2010	Dated: December 17, 2010
4	/s/ Anne Hartman Robert A. Goodin (SBN 061302)	<u>/s/ Jason de Bretteville</u> Jason de Bretteville (SBN 195069)
5	(rgoodin@goodinmacbride.com) Francine T. Radford (SBN 168269)	(debrettevillej@sullcrom.com) Sverker K. Högberg (SBN 244640)
6	(fradford@goodinmacbride.com) Anne H. Hartman (SBN 184556)	(hogbergs@sullcrom.com) SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
7	(ahartman@goodinmacbride.com) GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI, DAY & LAMPREY, LLP	1870 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303 Telephone: (650) 461-5600
8	505 Sansome Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, California 94111	Facsimile: (650) 461-5700
9	Telephone: (415) 392-7900 Facsimile: (415) 398-4321	Robert A. Sacks (SBN 150146) (sacksr@sullcrom.com)
10	David J. Grais (<i>pro hac</i> app. to be submitted)	SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP 1888 Century Park East
11	Kathryn C. Ellsworth (<i>pro hac</i> app. to be submitted) Owen L. Cyrulnik (<i>pro hac</i> app. to be submitted)	Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 712-6600
12	Leanne M. Wilson (pro hac app. to be submitted)	Facsimile: (310) 712-8800
13	GRAIS & ELLSWORTH LLP 40 E. 52nd Street	Stacey R. Friedman (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) (friedmans@sullcrom.com)
14	New York, New York 10022 Telephone: (212) 755-0100	SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP 125 Broad Street
15	Facsimile: (212) 755-0052	New York, New York 10004 Telephone: (212) 558-4000
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff The Charles Schwab Corporation	Facsimile: (212) 558-3588
17	The Charles Senwab Corporation	Attorneys for J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Bear Stearns Asset Backed Securities I LLC
18		200. 200. 112 122 200.000 200.000 1 220
19	* * *	* *
20	NOW, THEREFORE, PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21		
22	Dated: December 17, 2010	Whey Starhets
23		The Hoporable Jeffrey S. White United States District Court Judge
24		OARCH DIACO DISTRICT COURT JUNGO
25		
26		
27		

28

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

Case3:10-cv-04522-JSW Document30 Filed12/17/10 Page4 of 4

1	I, Jason de Bretteville, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file		
2	this Stipulation And [Proposed] Order To Extend Time To Respond To The Complaint. In compliance		
3	with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Anne Hartman has concurred in this filing.		
4			
5	Dated: December 17, 2010	/s/ Jason de Bretteville Jason de Bretteville	
6		Jason de Bretteville	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 an			

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

3