

1 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
 Yi-Chin Ho (Bar No. 204834)  
 2 355 South Grand Avenue  
 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560  
 3 Telephone: +1.213.485.1234  
 Facsimile: +1.213.891.8763

4 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
 5 Belinda S Lee (Bar No. 199635)  
 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000  
 6 San Francisco, CA 94111-6538  
 Telephone: +1.415.391.0600  
 7 Facsimile: +1.415.395.8095

8 Attorneys for Defendant  
 HannStar Display Corporation

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16 IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)  
 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

19 *Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. AU Optronics*  
*Corp., et al., No. 10-cv-4572 SI*

Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI  
 MDL No. 1827

Individual Case File No. 10-cv-4572 SI

**STIPULATION AND [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER  
 TO AMEND BRIEFING AND HEARING  
 SCHEDULE PURSUANT TO FRCP 6(B)  
 AND NORTHERN DISTRICT CIVIL  
 LOCAL RULES 6-1, 6-3, 7-10 AND 7-11**

[MDL Dkt. Nos. 8606, 8611, 8610, 8612, 8653]

1 WHEREAS Defendant HannStar Display Corporation (“HannStar”) engaged Latham &  
2 Watkins LLP on October 10, 2013 to replace Freitas Tseng & Kaufman LLP as its counsel of  
3 record in this matter and has filed a Motion to Substitute Counsel concurrently herewith;

4 WHEREAS HannStar seeks an extension of certain upcoming briefing deadlines and  
5 dates to allow Latham & Watkins sufficient time to assume its new role as HannStar’s counsel;

6 WHEREAS counsel for HannStar and counsel for Plaintiffs Best Buy Co., Inc., Best Buy  
7 Purchasing LLC, Best Buy Enterprise Services, Inc., Best Buy Stores, L.P., Best Buy.com,  
8 L.L.C., and Magnolia Hi-Fi, Inc. (“the Best Buy Plaintiffs”) have met and conferred and agree  
9 that an extension of certain deadlines and dates would be appropriate;

10 WHEREAS the schedule proposed below will result in the parties’ related submissions  
11 being filed at least nine days in advance of the proposed new hearing on these matters; and

12 WHEREAS HannStar has agreed to forebear from making any further requests for  
13 information (discovery) to or asserting any entitlement to further information (discovery) from  
14 the Best Buy Plaintiffs other than in HannStar’s (a) opposition to the Best Buy Plaintiffs’ Motion  
15 for Attorneys’ Fees and Costs and (b) opposition to the Best Buy Plaintiffs’ Bill of Costs.

16 Further, the parties agree that nothing in this Stipulation shall be construed as an admission by  
17 either party as to the relevance of this information, nor shall anything in this Stipulation affect or  
18 alter the burden of proof applicable to the pending motions;

19 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED between the parties that the following  
20 schedule should be adopted:

Event	Previous Date	New Date
HannStar’s Opposition to the Best Buy Plaintiffs’ Motion for Fees and Costs	October 16, 2013	<b>October 30, 2013</b>
The Best Buy Plaintiffs’ Opposition to HannStar’s Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	October 16, 2013	<b>October 30, 2013</b>
HannStar’s Opposition to the Best Buy Plaintiffs’ Bill of Costs	October 21, 2013	<b>November 4, 2013</b>
HannStar’s Reply ISO Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	October 23, 2013	<b>November 13, 2013</b>

