1		
2		
3		
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7		
8	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	No. M 07-1827 SI MDL. No. 1827
9		Case Nos. 10-4572 SI
10	This Order Relates to:	ORDER REFERRING BEST BUY'S BILL
11	Best Buy et al. v. AU Optronics	OF COSTS AND MOTION FOR FEES AND COSTS TO SPECIAL MASTER
12 13	Corporation, et al., C 10-4572 SI	QUINN FOR DETERMINATION IN THE FIRST INSTANCE
14		
15	Best Buy's motion for attorneys' fees and costs is scheduled for a hearing on November 22,	
16	2013. It also appears from the docket that HannStar has noticed its objections to Best Buy's Bill of	
17	Costs for a hearing on November 22, 2013.	
18	The Court hereby VACATES the hearing and, in the interest of judicial efficiency, REFERS both	
19	matters to Special Master Quinn for determination in the first instance. Docket Nos. 8610, 8612, 8730,	
20	8742, 8767, and 8778. The parties may contact the Court's Courtroom Deputy, Tracy Kasamoto, if	
21	they wish to pick up the chambers copies of these voluminous materials to submit them to Special	
22	Master Quinn.	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Suran Illaton
24	Dated: November 20, 2013	SUSAN ILLSTON
25		United States District Judge
26		
27	¹ Best Buy filed an oversized reply brief wit	hout leave of Court. Docket No. 8769. Best Buy

¹ Best Buy filed an oversized reply brief without leave of Court. Docket No. 8769. Best Buy subsequently filed an administrative motion seeking to file the oversized reply brief or to substitute a 15 page reply brief *nunc pro tunc*. Docket No. 8778. The Court denies the motion to file an oversized reply brief.