1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	JOSEPH S. TRAMAGLINO, V-75636,
10	Plaintiff(s), No. C 10-4619 CRB (PR)
11	v.) ORDER
12	B. BURKHARDT, et al., (Docket # 15)
13	Defendant(s).
14)
15	Good cause appearing, plaintiff's request (docket # 15) for an extension of
16	time to identify defendants Does 1, 2 and 3 is GRANTED. By no later than July
17	29, 2011, plaintiff may identify the Doe defendants and amend his complaint to
18	name them and allege, if possible, facts showing that they were deliberately
19	indifferent to his safety needs because they knew of and disregarded an excessive
20	risk to plaintiff's safety. See Farmer v. Brennan, 511 U.S. 825, 837 (1994)
21	(prison official can be liable under 8th Amendment if he knows of and disregards
22	an excessive risk to inmate health or safety).
23	No further extensions of time will be granted.
24	SO ORDERED.
25	DATED: June 15, 2011 CHARLES R. BREYER
26	United States District Judge
27	
28	G:\PRO-SE\CRB\CR.10\Tramaglino, J1.or1.wpd