

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4

5
6 INTERWOVEN, INC.,
7

No. CV 10-04645 RS

8 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
SEAL AND SETTING HEARING
DATE FOR MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

9 v.

10 VERTICAL COMPUTER SYSTEMS,
11

12 Defendant.
13 _____/

14
15 Plaintiff filed an administrative motion to file portions of a summary judgment motion
16 under seal. The redacted motion for summary judgment indicated plaintiff contemplated a
17 November 29, 2012 hearing date for the substantive motion. However, plaintiff failed to
18 properly notice that date and, as a result, the hearing has not been set. That date is now closed.
19 Consequently, plaintiff's motion for summary judgment shall be heard on December 13, 2012 at
20 1:30 p.m.

21 Furthermore, most, if not all, of the information plaintiff has requested be filed under seal
22 does not meet the requirements of Civil Local Rule 79-5. Simply designating documents as
23 "highly confidential" during the discovery process does not entitle them to be filed under seal in
24 court. Plaintiff's motion to file under seal is denied without prejudice to re-file an appropriately
25 "narrowly tailored" request.
26

27 IT IS SO ORDERED
28

No. 10-cv-04645 RS
ORDER

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: 10/30/12



RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 1:12-cv-00014-RS Document 1-1 Filed 11/01/12 Page 2 of 2
For the Northern District of California