1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	
9	MARK LETELL ADAMS, No. C 10-04787 WHA
10	Plaintiff,
11	v. ORDER REQUESTING FURTHER BRIEFING
12	RONALD ALBERTSON, et. al.,
13	Defendants.
14	/
15	Having considered the briefs and arguments on the pending motions to dismiss, all parties
16	are invited to brief the following preliminary jurisdictional question. The Rooker-Feldman
17	doctrine requires district courts to dismiss any complaint that is a <i>de facto</i> appeal from a state
18	court decision. Dist. of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman, 460 U.S. 462 (1983); Rooker v.
19	Fidelity Trust Co., 263 U.S. 413 (1923). Plaintiff has recourse in the state court system for at
20	least some of the grievances that form the basis of his complaint. Please address whether and to
21	what extent the Rooker-Feldman doctrine applies to plaintiff's claims for relief such that this
22	district court lacks subject-matter jurisdiction over all or some of the action.
23	Each party may submit a brief not to exceed ten pages. All briefs must be filed by
24	NOON ON FEBRUARY 10, 2011.
25	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.
27	1m Anne
28	Dated: February 3, 2011.
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE