

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SERGIO ALVAREZ,
Plaintiff,
v.
G.D. LEWIS; MATTHEW CATE;
FRANCISCO JACQUEZ; T. COOK; D.
CONOVER; S. SORENSEN; M.D. YAX;
Defendants.

No. C 10-4833 JSW (PR)
**ORDER OF PARTIAL
DISMISSAL AND OF SERVICE**

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, a California prisoner, has filed this pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The complaint was dismissed with leave to amend, and Plaintiff timely amended. Having reviewed the amended complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, the Court dismisses it in part and orders one claim served on five of the Defendants, as described below.

DISCUSSION

I. Standard of Review

Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which prisoners seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The Court must identify cognizable claims or dismiss the complaint, or any portion of the complaint, if the complaint “is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted,” or “seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief.” *Id.* § 1915A(b). Pro se pleadings must be

1 liberally construed. *Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir.
2 1990).

3 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2) requires only "a short and plain statement
4 of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." "Specific facts are not
5 necessary; the statement need only "give the defendant fair notice of what the claim
6 is and the grounds upon which it rests.""
7 *Erickson v. Pardus*, 127 S. Ct. 2197, 2200 (2007) (citations omitted). Although in order to state a claim a complaint "does not need
8 detailed factual allegations, . . . a plaintiff's obligation to provide the 'grounds of his
9 'entitle[ment] to relief' requires more than labels and conclusions, and a formulaic
10 recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not do. . . . Factual allegations must
11 be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v.*
12 *Twombly*, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 1964-65 (2007) (citations omitted). A complaint must proffer
13 "enough facts to state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face." *Id.* at 1974. Pro se
14 pleadings must be liberally construed. *Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696,
15 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

16 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two elements:

- 17 (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and
18 (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state
19 law. *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

20 II. Discussion

21 Plaintiff claims that Defendants Cate, Jacquez, Cook, Sorensen, Conover and Yax
22 did not provide sufficient heat in his housing area in the prison between December 1,
23 2008 and February of 2009, with readings on the thermometer of as low as 60 degrees.
24 Due to a medical condition from which Plaintiff suffers, the cold temperatures caused
25 pain, numbness and crookedness in his fingers. When he complained of this to
26 Defendants, they did not provide more heat. He further alleges that up until October
27
28

1 2010, when this action was filed, the heat was turned on only sparingly. When liberally
2 construed, these allegations are sufficient to state a cognizable claim against Defendants
3 for the violation of Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment rights.

4 Plaintiff also claims that Defendants Jacquez, Sorensen, Conover, Yax and other
5 unnamed officials have subjected him to cruel prison conditions in retaliation for his
6 refusal to "debrief" and become an informant against other inmates. "Within the prison
7 context, a viable claim of First Amendment retaliation entails five basic elements: (1)
8 An assertion that a state actor took some adverse action against an inmate (2) because of
9 (3) that prisoner's protected conduct, and that such action (4) chilled the inmate's exercise
10 of his First Amendment rights, and (5) the action did not reasonably advance a legitimate
11 correctional goal." *Rhodes v. Robinson*, 408 F.3d 559, 567-68 (9th Cir. 2005). To begin
12 with, Plaintiff's allegations are conclusory insofar as he does not specify which action
13 each Defendant or Defendants took, how or when he refused to debrief, or how each
14 Defendant knew about such refusal. He also does not allege that the adverse action
15 chilled his exercise of any First Amendment rights. Lastly, he alleges he was retaliated
16 against for not cooperating with authorities in investigating other inmates, which is not
17 constitutionally protected conduct. Accordingly, Plaintiff has failed to state a cognizable
18 retaliation claim, and it will be dismissed.

19 Defendants Lewis and Cook are not included in Plaintiff's cognizable Eighth
20 Amendment claim, and no other claims are asserted against them. Accordingly, the case
21 will be dismissed as to these Defendants.

22 CONCLUSION

23 1. The retaliation claims are DISMISSED. All claims against Defendants Lewis
24 and Cook are also DISMISSED. When liberally construed, the Eighth Amendment
25 claim against Defendants Cate, Jacquez, Sorensen, Conover and Yax, as described
26 above, is cognizable.

1 2. The Clerk of the Court shall issue summons and the United States Marshal
2 shall serve, without prepayment of fees, a copy of the amended complaint and all
3 attachments thereto, and a copy of this order upon Defendants **Francisco Jacquez, D.**
4 **Conover, S. Sorensen, and M.D. Yax,** at **Pelican Bay State Prison** and upon **Matthew**
5 **Cate, Director,** at the **California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.**

6 The Clerk shall also mail a courtesy copy of the amended complaint and this order
7 to the California Attorney General's Office.

8 The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this order on Plaintiff.

9 3. In order to expedite the resolution of this case, the Court orders as follows:

10 a. No later than **ninety (90) days** from the date this order is filed,
11 Defendants shall either file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion,
12 or a notice to the Court that they are of the opinion that this matter cannot be resolved by
13 dispositive motion. The motion shall be supported by adequate factual documentation
14 and shall conform in all respects to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56.

15 **Defendants are advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor**
16 **qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If defendants are of the**
17 **opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, they shall so**
18 **inform the Court prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due.**

19 All papers filed with the Court shall be promptly served on the Plaintiff.

20 b. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion, if any, shall be filed with
21 the court and served upon defendants no later than thirty days from the date of service of
22 the motion. Plaintiff must read the attached page headed "NOTICE -- WARNING,"
23 which is provided to him pursuant to *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 953-954 (9th Cir.
24 1998) (en banc), and *Klingele v. Eikenberry*, 849 F.2d 409, 411-12 (9th Cir. 1988).

25 If defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss claiming that plaintiff failed
26 to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a),
27
28

1 plaintiff should take note of the attached page headed "NOTICE -- WARNING
2 (EXHAUSTION)." See *Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n. 4 (9th Cir. 2003)

3 c. Defendants shall file a reply brief no later than **fifteen (15) days** after
4 Plaintiff's opposition is filed.

5 d. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is
6 due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date.

7 4. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil
8 Procedure. No further Court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or
9 Local Rule 16 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

10 5. Extensions of time are not favored, though reasonable extensions will be
11 granted. Any motion for an extension of time must be filed no later than **five** days prior
12 to the deadline sought to be extended.

13 6. All communications by Plaintiff with the Court must be served on Defendant,
14 or Defendant's counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the
15 document to Defendant or Defendant's counsel.

16 7. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the
17 Court informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a
18 timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to
19 prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 DATED: May 3, 2011



22
23

JEFFREY S. WHITE
United States District Judge

1 **NOTICE -- WARNING (SUMMARY JUDGMENT)**

2 If defendants move for summary judgment, they are seeking to have your case
3 dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil
4 Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

5 Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment.
6 Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material
7 fact--that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case,
8 the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which
9 will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is
10 properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what
11 your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions,
12 answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that
13 contradict the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is
14 a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition,
15 summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted,
16 your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

17 **NOTICE -- WARNING (EXHAUSTION)**

18 If defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust, they are
19 seeking to have your case dismissed. If the motion is granted it will end your case.

20 You have the right to present any evidence you may have which tends to show that you
21 did exhaust your administrative remedies. Such evidence may be in the form of declarations
22 (statements signed under penalty of perjury) or authenticated documents, that is, documents
23 accompanied by a declaration showing where they came from and why they are authentic, or
24 other sworn papers, such as answers to interrogatories or depositions.

25 If defendants file a motion to dismiss and it is granted, your case will be dismissed and
26 there will be no trial.

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4

5 SERGIO ALVAREZ,
6 Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV10-04833 JSW

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

7 v.


8 G.D. LEWIS et al,
9 Defendant.
10 _____/

11 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
12 Court, Northern District of California.

13 That on May 3, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
14 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing
15 said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery
16 receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

17 Sergio Alvarez K42605
18 Pelican Bay State Prison
19 P.O. Box 7500
20 Crescent City, CA 95532-7500

Dated: May 3, 2011


Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk